

# *Spearhead*

£1.50

No. 342 AUGUST 1997

ISSN 1364-7105

## **ULSTER: Republicans unleash the terror....**



**....and Loyalists get the  
blame      SEE PAGE 3**

# WHAT WE THINK

## Foolish tears over Hong Kong

It is probably too much to hope that, now that the Hong Kong handover has been completed, the British public will be spared further agonised posturings by politicians and journalists over the fate of the people there. Ruling and chattering classes afflicted, as ours are, by the late twentieth century madness of liberalism just do not give up so easily.

The episode of Hong Kong created a curious dilemma for liberals. On the one hand they saw it, as they so ecstatically proclaimed, as the final fall of the curtain on the British Empire. This provided them with the opportunity to engage in an orgy of national masochism almost without parallel even in these benighted times. British liberals just love to see Britain diminished: any occasion giving rise to the ceremonial pulling down of the Union Jack, particularly when it is attended by the glitterati of the establishment — royalty, leading politicians and popular entertainers, is one to be savoured by them. In the run-up to the big event, articles in *The Guardian*, *Indepen-*

## Nationalist Comment

*dent*, *Observer* and *Sunday Times* positively gurgled with pleasure at the coming symbolising of imperial sunset.

On the other hand, these same liberals were hugely troubled by the fate of the Hong Kong people after the transfer of sovereignty. Seized always by the asinine belief that the main purpose of empires is to serve the interests of their subject races, they had long pondered with alarm over what might happen to the Hong Kongers when Red China took charge. The atmosphere preceding the handover was poisoned by Anglo-Chinese acrimony as our politicians, led by outgoing Governor Chris Patten, constantly lectured to the Chinese on how they should run what, after all, would be a part of their own country from July 1st onwards. The latter, perhaps understandably, were not amused.

Liberal anger was then exacerbated when it became known that China was to mark her assumption of control over the former colony by an ostentatious display of military might, with 4,000 troops, some in armoured personnel carriers, backed up by ten warships, moving in immediately. More whines and protests.

An establishment addicted to liberal norms and values somehow could not understand the puerility of such gestures against actions which it was completely powerless to prevent, and which were no longer its business anyway. It took a US Government official in Washington to see the matter in perspective. "That's not anything unusual. It's their territory," he said.

Right up to the last, a world of weird unreality surrounded British actions. A day or two before the final exit, the British community in Hong Kong held a big celebration junket at which the retiring Governor

joined a lusty singing of *Rule Britannia!*. Only a nation in a state of stupor could fail to appreciate the absurdity of such a scene.

So what are we to make of the exit from Hong Kong? A realistic view of world politics would rule that it be seen as much ado about nothing.

To begin with, talk of the handover to China representing the last rites of empire is pure hogwash. The real power of the British Empire rested in the political, economic and family ties between Britain and the White Dominions. It was supplemented by certain other possessions which guaranteed a supply of vital raw materials to the Mother Country and/or provided military or naval bases which were crucial to our security in their times but do not in all cases remain so today. In all this, the importance of Hong Kong was never more than peripheral. It became a highly successful commercial centre in which huge fortunes were made by its predominantly Chinese inhabitants — which of course was bound to earn them a special affection and admiration on the part of British Tories, whose minds are never far from an obsession with money. But as a piece in the jigsaw of actual British world power — which was what the Empire should have been all about — its significance was tiny.

In a sober stocktaking of British imperial possessions, Hong Kong would long ago have been seen as expendable and certainly not worth a poisoning of relations with China to hold on to. We then should have got out quietly and with a minimum of ceremony — in accordance with the principle that garrisoning the colony was not worth a single day's pay of a single British serviceman. As for the future of the natives there, since they are ethnic Chinese that is their concern and China's but most surely not ours.

But Britain in the 20th century just does not seem capable of making such cool-headed decisions in her own interests. She still is obsessed by a missionary commitment to the welfare of all the hundreds of millions of her former colonial subjects long after her power and authority over them has gone.

And when the time comes to make a withdrawal from any former colony she insists on making a grand ritual of the affair with VIPs in attendance and bands playing as the flag is hauled down — as if she positively enjoyed the act of abdication. This ritual has now been developed to a fine art, so incessant has been the practice given to it. Foreigners must gasp in incomprehension at the sight of a once-proud and mighty nation making a beano out of the kicking of its own backside.

For well might the Chinese hold their triumphal parade. The triumph is not so much the addition of 390 square miles to a country already larger than the United States; it is the humiliation of Britain and of

## Spearhead

No. 342 AUGUST 1997

c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

Editor: John Tyndall Assistant Editor: Tom North

*Spearhead* exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

*Spearhead* welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are either around 1,000 or 1850 words. Preferred format is Windows Write or ASCII format on 3" computer disk or typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and to *Spearhead*, and to include in the acknowledgement the full name and address of the magazine.

the long-resented White Man. It is a humiliation both invited and deserved by our rulers as they yap vainly about the 'rights' of China's new subjects, which in sanity should not matter to us one single jot.

## What's NATO up to now?

"NATO leaders are looking at ways to help Russia transform its bloated, wasteful and demoralised armed forces into an efficient, professional and effective military machine"

This strange report appeared in the *Sunday Telegraph* of July 6th. After we had read it we re-read it and re-read it again to make sure we had not been mistaken. Yet there it was, just as reprinted here.

The purpose of NATO, we were always told, was to co-ordinate the defence of the western world in preparation against an attack by the Soviet Union and its satellites. After the Soviet Union disintegrated and its satellites became no longer satellites but free, sovereign nations no longer under the heel of communism, it might well have been asked: what was the need to maintain NATO any longer? The internationalists replied that Russia was still a very large power armed to the teeth with conventional and nuclear weapons, so the West could not afford to let its guard down. NATO has even gone so far as to enlist some of the former Soviet satellites as members, with a view to extending its front line right up to Russia's frontiers.

Given this scenario, it would have been thought that NATO's leaders would welcome the running down of the morale and fighting efficiency of Russia's forces. But no! On the contrary, they seem to be deeply perturbed about it and to want to help the Russians to remedy it!

Are we living in a world that has gone completely mad?

Or is it simply that things in that world are very, very different from the way we're told they are by our politicians and masters of the media?

## Who is the Chancellor kidding?

Chancellor Gordon Brown, in his first budget last month, was reported as launching a crusade to put a great army of British youngsters to work. £5.2 billion was taken out of the privatised utilities to fund what was described as "the most ambitious job-creation scheme ever attempted." (*Daily Mail*, 3.7.97).

Among the measures adopted in this 'job-creation' project were: a £60-a-week subsidy for employers for each youngster hired; voluntary work; a place on an environmental task force; and full-time education or training.

The Chancellor, striking a tough pose, said that young people who refused to sign up to the scheme will be stripped of most of their benefits.

Of course, we've heard about these 'job-creation' schemes before — the last Tory Government was constantly proclaiming new initiatives in that direction, none of them amounting to much more than hot air.

Chancellor Brown and his Government seem just as incapable as the previous one of understanding the only way in which lasting employment can be created. It is no use all these elaborate programmes unless there is an assured demand for the goods and services that are to be supplied by those given or trained for jobs. The only way to create that demand is find it in the home market. That means protecting that home market against unnecessary imports.

As long as the British people drive around in foreign cars, use foreign domestic implements, perform their work with foreign machinery and go abroad on holiday in foreign boats and aeroplanes, there is not going to be adequate work for Britons, young or not so young.

There is no problem whatever in providing work as such for those who are idle; they can be employed digging holes in the ground, then filling them in again!

What has to be done is to provide work that repays the cost of the provision in expanding business. As long as this country continues to be flooded with imports as a result of its commitment to the 'global economy', there's no chance of that happening.

And it seems that where this commitment is concerned the recent swap-over from Tories to Labour changes nothing!

## Ulster: sell out goes on

July being the marching season in Northern Ireland, it is customary for us to be prepared for renewed wails from the *Guardian*-reading classes against Ulster loyalists who have the impertinence, in these politically correct times, to wish to enact their centuries-old traditions.

As was the case last year, the focal point of controversy was the Garvaghy Road district of Portadown, where Orange marchers planned to parade through a predominantly catholic area. Informed people know that the march along this route has historical roots extending far further back than those of the local catholic inhabitants. Yet the familiar cacophony of protest sounded forth that the 'triumphalism' (how lefties and liberals love to use that word!) of the Orangemen would be a 'provocation' (another thoroughly PC word) to the local people and therefore bound to result in violence and disorder.

The obvious answer to this is that those catholics in the area who had no enthusiasm for the march were under no obligation to witness it; they could simply turn their backs, switch on their TV sets or do whatever else served to distract them from the event. Probably that is what the vast

majority of them were ready to do.

But a militant republican minority, mainly recruited from outside the area, were determined not to let the parade pass peacefully. They mobilised their forces as near to the march route as they could get, and prepared to create mayhem when the Orangemen passed by.

New Labour Northern Ireland Secretary Mo Mowlam had hoped to ban the Orange march but she was persuaded by the RUC, after consultation with loyalist leaders, that to do so would result in far more disorder than were the event to go ahead.

Due to a strong presence of RUC and army, order on the day was preserved and republican agitation relatively ineffective. The troublemakers were efficiently separated from the marchers, and the latter proceeded in dignified and well-disciplined formation along their way. However, violence subsequently broke out in a number of republican areas of the province immediately following, all of it instigated by fenian groups.

Yet the reaction of the 'liberal' media afterwards was to make no distinction between those observing the law and those bent on breaking it. One front-page report in *The Independent* (7th July) was headed 'Marchers trample peace hopes' — as if it were the Orangemen and loyalists, and not the hooligans on the republican side, who were responsible for the trouble! Another report inside spoke of 'Balancing two evils'.

All this, needless to say, was sweet music to the terrorists, who organised further disturbances throughout Northern Ireland over the following few days leading up to the next scheduled parades on July 12th. The Government, predictably, panicked and capitulated to the republican terrorists. Reversing its decision the previous weekend to allow the Portadown march, it put every possible pressure on loyalist leaders to cancel or re-route the marches planned for the week later. The weaker elements among the loyalists relented and cancelled march arrangements in five flashpoint areas — much to the disgust of their firmer colleagues, who quite rightly condemned the change-of-plan as a surrender to violence.

Some idea of the flavour of this decision can be gauged from the fact that it was greeted with undisguised pleasure and triumph by Gerry Adams, who proclaimed: "The door is now open to new peace moves after the Orange Order's decision to cancel or re-route marches..."

All in all, this was just another example of British Government, by a policy of weakness and appeasement, sending out the message to Sinn Fein/IRA and their allies that violence and terror pay. This same Government protests that it is dedicated to the 'peace process' in Northern Ireland, yet ensures always to make peace impossible by rewarding the terrorists with further concessions after every new bout of rioting and murder.

France

# The coming earthquake

GILBERT MONCHANIN sees a revolution in the making

THE following slightly-amended article first appeared in the January '97 issue of *Impact*, probably the best-informed magazine published in South Africa. For a sample copy send a donation in IRCs to: PO Box 28233, Sunnyside 0132, Republic of South Africa.

It is important to understand that there are many differences between the situation in France and Britain which have given the **FN** big advantages over nationalists striving for the same break-through here. Nevertheless, the advance of the **Front National** from a tiny fringe group to future government is the best possible answer to those pessimists and cowards who use the argument that 'it can't be done, there is no electoral road' as an excuse for safely anonymous preaching to the converted in obscure grouplets. The success of the **FN** shows that it can be done — and that the recipe for success is a mixture of electioneering and counter-power initiatives — but the years it has taken even to get that far show that there are no short cuts.

SOMETHING extremely important is happening in France. This became noticeable for the first time during the annual celebration of the *Front National* which took place in Paris during the last weekend of September..

Thousands upon thousands of young people, coming from every part of France and also from other parts of Europe, flooded as never before a function that used to be the main 'family gathering' of the country.

Anyone strolling around, especially on the Sunday afternoon, would have noticed first the huge crowd. As entrance was not free, it was easy for the organisers to estimate the crowd at about 60,000. Secondly, all classes were represented, but a big percentage appeared to come from the working world. Thirdly, they were White.

The main characteristic of the crowd, however, was the large proportion of youth of the most radical category. Celtic crosses were displayed on caps, T-shirts, as tattoos, flying on banners, as necklaces. Many of the boys and girls were skinheads. The majority were from the **FN's** youth organisation, the *FNJ* (*Front National de La Jeunesse*).

## Radical dissent

At the rock concert on the Saturday evening, some of the rock groups were of a really radical sort (in a repressed Europe, rock music has become a sort of coded signal of dissent), conveying a kind of incendiary, national-revolutionary message few were expecting inside a *Front National* meeting, which so far has taken the greatest care not to be branded as extremist.

Something, indeed, is changing fast.

On the Sunday afternoon, thousands among the older militants of the *Front National*, fierce anti-Bolsheviks of yesteryear — many of who had formerly been prisoners and victims of the so-called French 'Resistance', or relatives and friends of the 120,000 Frenchmen killed in 1945 — were astonished to hear as an introductory anthem before Jean-Marie Le Pen's speech, instead of the customary *March of the Slaves* (from Verdi's *Nabucco*), the old communists' *Song of the Partisans*:-

"Friend, do you hear the black flight  
Of the crows on the plain  
Oh, the killers with the ball and the knife.  
It's the alarm!  
Friend, if you fall,  
Another comes from the dark,  
takes your place...."

Le Pen explained: "We used to be slaves. Today we are the Partisans, ready for the civil war that comes."

His speech was the most radical he has ever made. For two and a half hours he ceaselessly attacked the Chirac state; calling Prime Minister Juppe "a criminal against humanity" for sharing co-responsibility for the Iraqi blockade; lambasting the liberal-socialist establishment and the globalist banking system, and calling on the French people to get up and fight back by any and all means.

This hard, warlike language is winning the French silent majority over to the **FN**, which has become the main movement in France to represent the youth, the working people, and the six million unemployed.

As time goes by and as the situation worsens, there is not a single device left to stop the flood from breaking the liberal-socialist dam and reaching the **FN** sea. The Communist Party, Trotskyite micro-groups, Jewish organisations and the media are the last remnants of the fortress that only a few years ago exercised complete domination over French political life.

It is not necessary to ask if the **FN** will win. The only question is: *when?* To try to stem the tide, the Chirac state is using two stratagems, which will surely boomerang.

First, devising totalitarian legislation that would — so they think — help get rid of the *Front National*. But, as this party is rapidly becoming the expression of the French nation, it would mean getting rid of the nation itself.

Second, flooding France with coloured immigrants, mostly blacks from North Africa or the West Indies. However, the more they persist in this, the more the white population crystallizes around the *Front National*. Such is the situation that even hostile media commentators have had to concede that the **FN** and Le Pen have become the central point of reference of French politics.

## Policy shifts

The French language even has a new verb 'to Lепенise', used when other political parties adopt the rhetoric or policies of the **FN** in order to bolster their declining support. In October last year, *L'Express* reported that "every third vote is now cast for Le Pen." A week after Le Pen publicly stated that "there is no reason to believe in the equality of all races," French voters apparently liked what they heard and in the Gardanne by-election gave 29.6 per cent of their votes to the **FN**, almost double the 15.6 per cent cast for the government candidate. However, a Communist won. This was brought about by the alliance of the Communists and Socialists with the so-called 'Right' of the increasingly paranoid Chirac against the *Front National*.

The same happened in the Dreux by-election featuring the famed **FN** personality Marie-France Stirbois (wife of the former **FN** leader murdered by Zionist bombers) who had gathered 80 per cent of the white vote in her district — against all establishment parties. In spite of her defeat, Mme. Stirbois increased her overall support from 35 per cent to 38 per

cent. The establishment parties feed themselves on a North African naturalized electorate, now the majority in this important city near Paris, as in many other big megapoles in the northern part of France.

In other words, the *Front National*, being already the main political force in France (notwithstanding the fact that a corrupt electoral law results in the *FN* having just a single representative in Parliament), one has to study this party carefully in order to know what the future holds for France.

## 'Heathen movement'

Recently, Cardinal Aaron Lustiger, the second most senior in the French Catholic hierarchy (who converted from Judaism when he was sixteen, but who insists that he is still Jewish), sent a violently anti-*FN* pastoral letter to his flock, publicly branding the *Front* as a mostly heathen movement, thus trying to dynamite it from within.

A counter-attack has been launched by long-time Le Pen supporter Bernard Antony, a genius of empirical organisation, but extremely sectarian and a Catholic traditionalist militant. Since 1974, Catholics have dominated the *Front National* and hermetically sealed all access to its direction. In 1982 *Present* commenced publication, being the only French traditionalist daily. For years this paper has been mainly accountable for the great successes of the *Front*. But *Present*, under the capable direction of philosopher Jean Madiran, was however sectarian and dogmatic as far as anything non-Catholic was concerned.

The Catholic tendency is still strong. The *FN*'s Secretary-General, Bruno Gollnisch, a bright young university professor, is representative of it, although he is very open-minded. About ten years ago, though, Le Pen opened the doors of the *FN* to a group of brilliant academics. Among these was the polytechnician Bruno Megret, senior administrator Jean-Yves Le Gallou, and

university professor Pierre Vial. These men came from the former 'New Right', which had been born out of the reaction against the May 1968 leftist uprising and ideology.

For the last 20 years, the New Right has been a sort of ideological cauldron in which grew the only revolutionary ideas in the otherwise poor, sterile soil of France. Some of the best French thinkers of the last 50 years belonged to the New Right, such as Louis Rougier, Julien Freund and Raymond Ruyer. The New Right — claiming a surprising but interesting affiliation with Italian communist theoretician Antonio Gramsci — was firstly pagan and opposed to monotheism, looking back to Celticism and Indo-European polytheism.

Alain de Benoist, architect of the New Right, never joined the *Front National* and indeed often harshly attacked Le Pen. Nevertheless many of his former followers rallied during the years to the only dynamic force on the French Right scene: the *Front National*. Among these were Pierre Vial, Jean-Claude Bardet and Bruno Megret. Bruno Megret is third in line, immediately behind Gollnisch in rank.

On the ideological scene, Pierre Vial, a convinced pagan and Indo-European enthusiast, is gaining more and more prominence inside the *Front*. A few years ago, he effected a spectacular truce with sectarian Jean Madiran (director of *Present*), saying it was useless for Catholics and pagans inside the *FN* to fight each other, as they all had only one concern: to save France and White civilization, which were being attacked from all sides. "Let us rather accept," he said, "that France existed before Clovis and White civilisation before Jesus Christ."

Since then, things have changed dramatically. On most directorial posts of the *FN* hierarchy, young national-revolutionaries have appeared, not very attracted to the Judeo-Christianity of Vatican II. One of Le Pen's main councillors is a tall young,

handsome, former paratrooper officer by the name of Bruno Racouchot, who has developed a charismatic attraction for thousands of the *FNJ* youth. And Samuel Marechal, Le Pen's own son-in-law, heads the *FNJ*. Like Le Pen's wife Jany, Marechal is a Protestant, and is a tough organiser of the warrior type. In three years he has realised the unbelievable challenge of building the *FN* a youth powerful organisation.

More and more, the old Catholic traditionalist wing is having to make way for the enthusiastic and young, who are moving towards the ancient European roots of the Celtic/Nordic pagan tradition, even though the late Catholic traditionalist Monseigneur Lefevre's congregation is a dynamic organization which is opening private schools everywhere in France, and so preparing discretely a formidable new *elite* for the year 2000.

## Radical right

We have now reached the point where the once-dominant Catholic traditionalists have no choice but to adapt as best they can to the fast-developing more radical Right and progress with it.

Either the *Front National*, with a Celtic, Nordic and polytheistic world view, wins and the fundamentalist Christians gain an advantageous position; or the *FN* fails and Mondialists — the One World plutocracy — triumph and those Christians will be the first to be destroyed.

From just about nowhere have surged magazines — one of the most attractive being the glossy *Refecir et Agir* ('Ponder and Act') — and *samizdats* of real quality which expose present world society with a brutal audacity. Most of them come from inside the *Front National* itself, many are organs of the different regional sections of the *FNJ*. They long for a total revolution and the complete dismissal of the present rotten society; they repudiate Judeo-Christianity, and they call for a new racialism which would return our world to the time when it had a real sense of pride and dignity, instead of the shameful cowardice of the present day 'elite'.

This is a complete revolution that is taking shape now in France. Few people — even inside the *Front National* — realise the magnitude of the earthquake which, once it starts, is going to rock the whole of Europe and the whole of the white world.

Those who had foolishly believed that they had already conquered the world had better be more cautious. A new force is taking form. And there is definitely nothing that will stop it. Think about it. The only question is — When?



The last of yesterday: French and German Marxists shuffle through Strasbourg to protest against the *FN*'s 1997 Congress. Despite free transport, arranged by the city's Socialist rulers, the leftists mustered far fewer demonstrators than they had expected. Those who did turn up went on a rioting and looting spree which did further damage to the anti-*FN* cause

# THE EVILS THAT BASK IN FREEDOM'S GLOW

READERS of *Spearhead's* 'What We Think' column last month will probably recall the words of new Tory leader William Hague as quoted from his article in *European Journal* in which he expounded his political philosophy, part of which read:-

"We need to be committed to free enterprise not just in Britain but the world over. The British Government should be a force for spreading the values of democratic capitalism around the world... We need to say to my generation that free trade and free enterprise have the power to lift people out of poverty in every part of the globe. Our vision must be of a free and prosperous nation in a world free of dictators and hunger..."

Apart from managing to encapsulate an impressive number of the familiar liberal clichés into quite a short paragraph, the young Mr. Hague achieved something else. He employed the word 'free' no less than five times. And this is nothing new to him. He first made his mark on British politics as a sixteen-year-old at the Tory conference in 1977, when under the approving gaze of Mrs. Thatcher he spoke disparagingly of those of older generations who purported to tell his own what to do, and ended his oration with the words "I want to be free!"

Possibly Master Hague misinterpreted the rousing ovation he received at the end of this speech as praise for its contents rather than for his cheeky precocity, at such an age, in mounting the platform at such a big and important meeting and holding forth with such self-confidence. But possibly he did not. The words 'free' and 'freedom', I have found over the years, have retained an almost ageless capacity for hypnosis over audiences in a democracy, no less when those audiences lean to the right than when they lean to the left. Use the words repetitively enough, and they will seduce and entrance to an extent at which all critical faculties are dulled and no-one ventures to put forward an awkward question, the question of *context* — in other words, the question of what all this 'freedom' is to be used for.

Of course, we are all prone to fall for the trick. We would all rather be 'free' than 'unfree'. To question 'freedom' in any context at all is to invite the accusation that one wants a society of slaves. But this really isn't good

enough. We should aspire to a higher level of debate than one in which majorities are swayed by mere buzz-words, a debate in which some discrimination is exercised between desirable and undesirable exercises of freedom. That leftists should eschew such discrimination is perhaps to be expected; that people on the right should do so is frustrating and depressing.

Why should we assume freedom to be an axiomatic good? Should drug-dealers be free to ply their trade? Should there be freedom for paedophiles? Should there be freedom to rape? These absurdities may be regarded by freedom-addicts as the extremes of the argument — caricatures which should not be allowed to detract from the soundness of their general case. They will claim that what they are talking about is freedom under the law, that is to say freedom to do what is not contrary to the laws of the land. But here we come up against the highly disputatious question of what those laws should be. In respect of the three activities

it is introduced reasoned discussion comes to an end. The opponent of liberal *laissez-faire* ethics may prefer to describe himself as something other than 'authoritarian' but it is too late; his adversaries have stuck the label upon him and he is doomed to wear it.

Even without such a label, however, the 'authoritarian' (or whatever he chooses to call himself) has an uphill struggle, for he has to contend with a natural desire of people not to be 'bossed around', told what they should do. Every one of us has an instinct to resent 'authority' when its enjoyment is to prevent us doing something we would like to do, or to oblige us to do something we would prefer not to do. The resentment is all the greater when, as so often happens in the benighted times in which we live, that 'authority' is in the hands of those who are the very least fit to use it — petty tyrants who love making and enforcing laws to fit their own ideological obsessions rather than to benefit the society for which

they are responsible.

The libertarian therefore has a mile-start in any argument with the so-

## JOHN TYNDALL dissects the creed of the libertarian right

cited, even most libertarians will probably agree that they lie beyond the pale of acceptability in any society, however liberal. But short of such extremes these people will be found endorsing virtually every other freedom as it applies to the right of the individual to act according to desire.

The liberal and libertarian of course have a ready criterion of what constitutes acceptable freedom. They will say that it is the right to engage in any behaviour that is not harmful to others and does not intrude upon the freedoms and rights of others. Here we get close to the core of the conflict between libertarians and those who, for the convenience of their argument, they designate as 'authoritarians'.

In this confrontation, the so-called 'authoritarian' finds himself instantly in a disadvantageous position. He has had a label slapped upon him which liberal newspeak has invested with all kinds of disagreeable connotations. The word is a part of the vocabulary which liberals have created to damn their opponents out of court without so much as time even to think about the meanings of things, to debate issues of right and wrong, sense and nonsense, free from semantic intimidation. 'Authoritarian' is the verbal near-neighbour of 'fascist' and 'nazi'. It scares people and it numbs their minds, so that when

called 'authoritarian' because he is able to play upon individuals' natural desire to do as they like and their objection to being told otherwise. He can appeal to every man's image of himself as a little king in his own castle, whilst he can depict his opponent in the jackboots of 'dictatorship' and 'oppression'. In the climate of today, the libertarian can hardly lose.

And this is nonetheless true for the fact that a certain category of libertarian, beneath all his rhetoric about 'freedom' and 'rights', carries in his briefcase a hidden agenda in which such things are fair game to be thrown out of the window the moment it suits his purpose. To the liberal, 'freedom' means the right only to defy those forms of authority to which liberals object. In this regard he is the bedfellow to the communist, who in Russia prior to 1917 railed constantly against 'authority', then for 72 years after that became its most extreme embodiment.

This is not to say to that all libertarians are insincere. My experience is that most on the left are, while most on the right are not. Tory libertarians, as a rule, genuinely believe in what they preach and really envisage a society in which their ideals of freedom operate, and apply to everybody. When all is considered, however, those ideals have grave shortcomings, which I shall now examine.

Let us begin with economic freedom, as expressed in the concepts of 'free trade' and the 'free market'. Libertarians derive their views on these concepts from two beliefs: firstly that such freedom has greater practical utility, that the end product of the freest possible economic activity is greater efficiency and therefore greater prosperity for all; secondly that in any case economic freedom is morally just, in as much as it provides the freedom for everyone to buy the product he chooses and to invest his money where he chooses, to use his property in whatever way he wishes and, if he so decides, to sell it to whomever he wishes. The libertarian will argue that the individual freedom that is sacrosanct to his doctrine cannot be real unless it incorporates economic freedom.

### Cheap labour

But the idea falls down on both counts. In a 'global economy' — which is the only kind of economy the libertarian will recognise — the cheap-labour producer and exporter will always have an unchallengeable advantage over the producer and exporter in a country where wages are much higher. The tendency will therefore be for capital to flow to, and production be concentrated in, those countries where labour is cheapest. The end result may well be the creation of more wealth in aggregate global terms but it will mean a much lower level of wealth-creation in particular nations where high-wage economies operate.

The libertarian will of course oppose this point by the counter-argument that in such a global economy everyone will benefit in the long run. As the economies of the low-wage countries are built up, the purchasing power of their peoples will rise, thus opening up ever-expanding markets to our own exporters, thus enabling us to pay for our growing imports. The young Mr. Hague echoes this idea in his quite inane statement about free trade and free enterprise having "the power to lift people out of poverty in every part of the globe" — an idea which is about on a par with a belief in fairies. The truth is that the people living in the low-wage countries are under no obligation whatever to use their increased purchasing power to buy our exports, and generally speaking they do not do so; they belong for the most part to societies that are highly nationalistic and their instinct is to buy the products of their own factories. By this process their trade with us becomes largely a one-way street. Then as our own industries are impoverished and theirs are built up, the power and efficiency of the latter become such that, even with their wage-levels rising to correspond more closely to ours, they can still outproduce and outsell us by the sheer weight of their competitiveness. The most prominent example of this in modern times is of course Japan, but several other nations of the Pacific Rim are following the same trend and are thereby further compounding our troubles.

The truth is of course that for the libertarian's

theories to work it requires that the whole world be dedicated to libertarian principles and practices, with everyone operating in accordance with a common set of rules. Such airy-fairy reasoning is totally alien to the hard-headed Asiatic, and he simply laughs at the gullible westerner who falls for it.

As for the supposedly superior moral justice of economic freedom, this is a complete nonsense, as the practical result of such freedom is that it always favours those with the greatest economic power. It was a common Labourite quip in the inter-war years that Tory freedom meant the freedom of the unemployed and destitute to choose whether they would lunch at the Savoy or the soup kitchen. This is a slight exaggeration of a truth that nevertheless holds good. The freedom of a business tycoon to choose whether to invest his capital in Lancashire or in India is all very well for him; it is not so well for ordinary Lancashire men and women seeking employment — as was proven in the Thirties and post-war period when workers in the cotton industry in that county were thrown on the scrapheap because garments could be produced much more cheaply in the sweatshops of the East. Economic freedom is always a quantity to be measured in accordance with one's means to enjoy it, and the practical result of its application in Britain is that for millions it has always been a quite worthless commodity.

### Political freedom

Much the same may be said of the varied range of political freedoms, which include freedom of assembly, freedom of the press, freedom of broadcasting and freedom to form, and vote for, political parties. For the great and the powerful they are very real, and they can quite easily be exercised to the detriment of one of the liberal's sacred tenets: what I spoke of earlier as freedom to do what one wishes so long as it is not harmful to others. The libertarian, of course, would not sanction the right of a newspaper tycoon to drive his Rolls Royce over a woman in the street and get away with it without punishment in the courts; but he does in practice sanction the right of that same newspaper tycoon to use his press to promote economic or social policies which bring ruin to thousands, even millions.

Freedom of the press, in effect, belongs to those few with money enough to buy newspapers or to influence their policy by way of commercial pressure, such as advertising boycotts. To the ordinary would-be writer with something valuable to say but which does not meet with the favour of his publisher it is quite meaningless. We can all scribble away in garrets to our heart's content, comfortable in the belief that our writings are not, as in a soviet-type state, actually 'banned'. But of what use is this if those with the power and influence to convey our writings to a mass readership operate a virtual closed shop against us because they believe our ideas to be 'dangerous'.

Much the same can be said of those who entertain unfashionable opinions which they would like to express by means of TV or radio. Power to determine whether they may do so lies solely with that small minority which owns or controls these vital organs of mass communication. It is the latter alone who possess this much-vaunted 'freedom'.

Meanwhile freedom of assembly has to mean much more than just the nominal right of people to gather in a public place to speak or demonstrate on a topical issue. To be real it has to incorporate the ability to gain access to such a place to begin with — which may mean a meeting hall for which a booking is refused by the owners, or open-air spaces to which access may be denied by the local authority or police on grounds of preserving 'public order'. In Britain today, to all intents and purposes, we have a situation in which any group of people wishing to assemble in public for any purpose need only be confronted by an opposing group which threatens violence against them — and their rights of assembly will be denied on the pretext of a threatened breach of the peace.

This barrier to free assembly may of course be surmounted by the tiny few of the rich and/or powerful who can use their influence to obtain bookings of indoor or outdoor public places or, better still, buy and own such places themselves.

Then, when access to these places has been obtained, there arises the need to muster a sufficiently large audience to make the message of the day effective. A soapbox orator declaiming on a street corner to a dozen people and their dogs is exercising 'freedom of assembly' and 'freedom of speech' — for all the bloody good it will do him! Only the propagandist who is able to hire and fill the Albert Hall or the Birmingham Exhibition Centre can be an effective player in the game of spreading opinions by the spoken word — the more so of course if the event is filmed by TV cameras and then seen and heard by millions in their living rooms. Again, it is the rich and the powerful alone who possess these freedoms.

### No real choice

As for forming and voting for political parties, no-one accustomed to reading these columns needs telling that the example of the poor street orator and the wealthy mass-communicator likewise applies. The average man's freedom to vote for this or that political party means little if the only parties able to win an election stand for virtually the same things. Didn't Stalin allow his people free elections — as long as the candidates were all communists?

To all this, the Tory libertarian may protest that what has been described is a regrettable perversion and abuse of the freedom principle that has occurred under modern conditions, and that it does not invalidate the principle itself. My reply to him is that under *any* conditions the same is liable to happen. Even

*Cont. overleaf*

Cont. from page 7

where there is not a conspiracy to suppress certain opinions, the rich and the powerful will always possess the resources to make the weight of their opinions far greater than those of others, thus persuading the masses to their point of view by the sheer overwhelming impact of their spoken and written word.

That is, as long as the ordinary man in the street is not protected from the rich and the powerful by some machinery of state which places a curb on the latter's activity and influence; which guarantees to the little man the same right and facilities for making his voice heard as are enjoyed by those who represent the mighty vested interests; which in the fullest sense guarantees freedom of assembly, freedom of the press and broadcasting, and freedom for minority, underfunded political parties by act of law.

## The state as protector

What this simply amounts to is something from which the libertarian will recoil in horror: a more powerful state which will act as a counterweight to the power of private interests and safeguard the welfare of ordinary folk in the face of them. Such a concept has ramifications far beyond the sphere of free expression; it extends into many others.

One is jobs. For when the livelihoods of millions of workers are at the mercy of a few wealthy capitalists who are empowered to move their money about the world at will; to buy in the cheapest markets and sell in the dearest; to close down mines, farms and factories as the drive for profit moves them, regardless of the social consequences of their decisions, there can only be one protective agency strong enough to guard against this process. That is government.

Another is morals. I think it safe to make a broad assumption that the moral standards believed in, and practised, by the great mass of middle- and working-class folk in Britain are much higher than those we have come to associate with our so-called *élites*. Yet it is the standards of the *élites* which mainly shape our contemporary culture because the levers of cultural power are in the hands of those *élites*, and a weak state — which is what all good libertarians favour — is impotent to do anything about it.

Again, we see that the much-lauded 'freedom' which stands at the centre of libertarian doctrine is really nothing other than the freedom of powerful interest-groups to pursue their own agendas, not the freedom of the ordinary citizen. The liberal and libertarian seem to have a blank area in their minds in which they see the state and the individual as the sole contending forces in the battle for powers and rights, with every advantage on the part of the former being acquired at the expense of the latter. They seem utterly blind to the truth that between state and people there are intermediate forces representing a huge complex of private vested

interests, each fired by essentially selfish designs. Without a strong state, these forces have virtually free rein, and both the individual and the nation are sufferers.

Quite aside from the question of which groups in society enjoy effective freedom and which do not, there is the even more fundamental one of whether the freedom ethic should ever be paramount, whether freedom should in fact represent the highest goal to which any society ought to be dedicated.

My submission is that a society which elevates freedom into a self-justifying virtue is demonstrating nothing more than an infantile view of life — a view of life at the level of that of a child, who simply wants to do as he likes without any restraint, who is too immature to understand such things as duty and responsibility, who thinks only of his 'rights' and bawls in protest whenever someone places a curb on them.

A child left to his own devices will pursue only his selfish pleasure, the gratification of the moment, the instant thrill or comfort.

A society given to liberal and libertarian ideals is a society in which no-one has grown up. It is simply an aggregate of so many millions with the attitudes of children — self-centred individuals, each out for his own interest, his own gain, his own enjoyment.


Such a society will take only an academic interest in its past, failing utterly to see that past as a series of steps up to the present which foregoing generations have constructed in accordance with a duty to their own posterity.

## Duty to the future

Likewise, that society will fail to recognise its duty to the future. The behaviour of its members, after all, is at the very best determined by the rule that anything goes so long as it does not harm others — remember? 'Others' of course mean those presently living, preoccupied as they are also with their own selfish desires, not generations to come whose welfare, presumably, will be their own concern and their own problem — as isolated from what is being done today as if they constituted life on another planet.

Hence the very liberal idea that if two people are sexually and romantically attracted to each other, absolutely nothing should prohibit their coming together in union. After all, to suggest otherwise would be to dispute their right to 'happiness'! Nowhere is there the thought that any importance should be attached to the quality of the children, if any, that they bring into the world. Their absolute right, their absolute freedom, to do as they want at their particular moment in the human story has priority over all.

Hence also the liberal idea that no young man should be conscripted against his will to serve in his country's armed forces. To determine otherwise is, again, an infringement on his 'freedom'. Completely absent in this reasoning is the thought that, if that rule were applied right across the board, the country



Hague: 'Libertarian'

would never be in a position to defend itself in any serious conflict and would therefore be conquered and subjugated — thus losing all its freedoms.

There will always be a tendency in the character of every white westerner to value personal freedom to a greater extent than his counterparts among most other races of mankind. That is his nature.

And up to a point that is good, for in the white westerner there reside, to an exceptionally high degree, the qualities of personal initiative, enterprise, resourcefulness and — at the highest level — genius, which must have space to move if they are to be exercised for the good of all. To this degree freedom is commendable.

But we should ever be on our guard against those philosophers and propagandists who view freedom as an end in itself, a cause, a crusade, instead of merely a sensible social arrangement — subject, as it always must be, to limits dictated by proper care for the common weal.

It is perhaps an unpopular thing to say, but the terrible mess in which our own society in Britain now finds itself is something from which we can only escape by being prepared to accept some degree of authoritarianism; to empower someone, in some areas of our national life, to boss people around and tell them what to do. We are at present a rabble army that is losing a war. Total defeat and annihilation are going to be our fate unless discipline is introduced.

And it does not look as if that commodity is going to come from either the right or the left of mainstream politics. Having given some prominence in the first part of this article to the views of new Tory leader William Hague, I might let him have a final word on matters. Explaining why he had sent a message of support to the 'Gay Pride' rally by 250,000 homos in London last month, he said: "I am a libertarian."

That is precisely my point.

# "FAVOURED RACES"

The subtitle Darwin's *Origin of Species* is "On the origin of species by means of natural selection or the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life."\* The human race has evolved to its present state of intelligence and power because of "the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life."\* 'Race'\* is the central mechanism of evolution that has created all living things. "The preservation of favoured races"\* is a simple process to understand, but its effects over time are awesome. If we examine the process, we find that at some stage in evolution we can observe a group of individuals of a single species which exist in an area segregated from other members of that same species. As a result of chance mutation, there occur genetic variations in some members of that segregated group. As the generations continue to reproduce, these genetic variations accumulate in the progeny of that segregated group. At first, the accumulated genetic variations do not make the segregated group different enough from the original species to justify calling the segregated group a new species or even a new "favoured race"\* of the original species. However, after many generations, the segregated group or tribe which had accumulated sufficient genetic differences would be called a new 'race'\* of the original species. Over time, these newly developed segregated races continue to accumulate genetic differences through chance mutation, variation, etc. The 'favoured' variations increase the survivability of the 'race'\* which carries them. Once this new "favoured race"\* has become different enough from the original species, it is called a new species. Hence the subtitle, "On the origin of species by means of natural selection or the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life."\* There is really no probability that the 'races' would be equal. In fact, the whole notion runs directly counter to all evolutionary theory and to the whole science of biology. Given the laws of biology, it would be a great surprise if the average strength or intelligence of one 'race'\* was found to be exactly equal to the average strength or intelligence of a different

'race'.\* Despite the controversy surrounding 'race', it is not particularly useful to know which 'race'\* happens, as the result of an accident of evolutionary development, to have greater average strength or greater average intelligence because one could not predict from this average that any particular individual member of one 'race' was going to be superior or inferior to any particular individual member of a different 'race'.\* There are superior and inferior, strong and weak, intelligent and unintelligent individuals in all 'races'.\* Regardless of 'racial'\* averages, one would still have to judge each individual on the basis of individual merit without reference to the average of the group to which he happened to belong. Only by judging people as individuals, could we avoid injustice and enable all people to make the maximum contribution to society. There is no such thing as a superior 'race'\* *per se*, in the sense that every member of one 'race' is superior to every member of another 'race'.\* Neither is there such a thing as 'racial'\* equality in the sense that the average strength or intelligence of one 'race'\* is equal to the average strength or intelligence of every other 'race'.\* By judging people as individuals, one could perhaps identify a (superior) sociobiological class which might be a cross section of all 'races'\* although probably not in equal proportion. The only way you could have a (superior race) would be if a "favoured race"\* evolved into and became the next more highly evolved species above *homo sapiens*, in which case it would become a superior species. Eugenics is a moral commitment, not a racial affiliation, and any 'race'\* that adopted a eugenic programme could, given sufficient time, evolve into and become the next more highly evolved species above *homo sapiens*. It is our hope that all 'races' will accept that moral responsibility and accomplish that objective, but it can not be accomplished within the political, philosophical and religious *milieu* of the 20th century.

\**Origin of Species*, CHARLES DARWIN

**For a free copy of the *Eugenic Manifesto*, from which the above is an excerpt, please write to: P.O. Box 72, New Concord, KY 42076, USA.**

## BACK IN PRINT — BNP CONSTITUTION

As a result of increased demand following an upsurge of interest in the British National Party following the general election, the BNP Constitution has now been reprinted. Every party official should have a copy, and all units should keep a small supply, together with copies of the 1997 Manifesto, ready to pass on to potential recruits who want to have a full grasp of BNP policy and organisation before joining up. The BNP Constitution costs just £1.00 incl. p&p from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW

**APOLOGY.** Subscribers will notice that this issue of *Spearhead* is slightly late. This is the result of a combination of staff holidays and and equipment breakdown. Normal production will be resumed next month. Thank you for your patience.

**CANDOUR.** British views letter, founded in 1953 by A.K. Chesterton to defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance. Staunchly traditionalist monthly. *Subscription: UK £15 per year; Overseas £20 per year; USA \$30 per year.* Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants, GU33 7DD. Back issues also available, dating from 1955 to the present day. These are a mine of information that you cannot afford to leave unearthed — send SAE for list.

# MEDIA LIES AND THE SECRET STATE

**Dr. MARK DEAVIN has the last word on the Cook Report affair**

ONE OF the most enjoyable aspects of the recent failure by Carlton Television's *Cook Report* to smear and divide the BNP was the prominent role played by the infamous anti-British hate comic *Searchlight* magazine and its editor, Gerry Gable, in bringing the fiasco about.

Even before the programme was aired, Gable was bragging in the June issue of *Searchlight* about his involvement which, considering that the BNP successfully exposed the attempted 'sting' two months earlier in April, gives an indication of the man's mentality.

Perhaps he is not well. Gable gave off a peculiar yellow glow throughout his titillating interview — in which, for some reason, he failed to mention how many thousands of pounds his incompetence had cost his friends at Carlton Television. Nor did he mention the fate of the poor sucker named in the *Cook Report*'s credits as responsible for the programme's research. Step forward Pete Brighton, real name Nick Lowell, who doubles as a *Searchlight* journalist. This is just as well, as it is unlikely that Mr Lowell will be working in national television again for quite some time!

No wonder Roger Cook sounded as if he was hyper-ventilating when confronted by a forewarned and forearmed Nick Griffin, and even by the inexperienced but plucky Wayne Ashcroft. The deeply unpopular mound of blubber was a quivering wreck as he tried his best to salvage something from the wreckage of what was initially a very sophisticated and expensive undercover operation, obviously planned and coordinated with the help of MI5, but which was compromised severely by Gerry Gable and his team of bumbling buffoons.

## Dirty tricks

For hard evidence of the programme's links with MI5, it may be necessary to look no further than its Editor, David Mannion, who appears to have a long association with the dirty tricks side of the intelligence services. Back in July 1987, Mannion, then acting news editor at Channel Four, played a significant role in the campaign to discredit Colin Wallace, the British Army intelligence officer who had been framed and jailed after blowing the whistle on a series of such operations, including

the way in which the main culprit in the Kincora Boys' Home paedophile scandal, William McGrath, was protected by MI5. Part of this disgusting pervert's value to the security service lay in the fact that his shadowy TARA paramilitary organisation could be used to discredit and divide the genuine loyalist paramilitaries. In passing, it is worth noting that this pseudo-gang tactic was used again more recently, with the manipulation of Combat 18 to cause problems for the BNP in the immediate wake of our election victory at Millwall.

It was Mannion who tried to get his immediate boss at Channel Four, Stuart Purvis, to drop his support for Wallace, sending him a viciously distorted letter about the wronged soldier, which had in turn been passed to him by Paul Wilkinson, Professor of International Relations at Aberdeen University. Interestingly, Wilkinson is also often described as an expert on terrorism and political 'extremism' and is frequently quoted in articles or programmes attacking British nationalists.

## Giving the game away

While such tantalising glimpses into the murky world of the security services strongly support the theory that it was MI5 that came up with the initial idea for the programme, it was clearly Gable who was responsible for the worst mistakes in the operation, which resulted in our concluding that it was definitely a scam. First, through one of the *Cook Report*'s undercover operatives, he made a crass attempt to find out the venue of a BNP pre-election dinner in Lancashire at which I was due to speak and which was to be attended by some very interesting prospective members and donors. This request set the alarm bells ringing but Gable, obviously foaming at the mouth (again) at the prospect of adding new material to his files, was prepared to put the whole operation at risk for the sake of his own pitifully small and irrelevant magazine.

Then, as if this wasn't enough, when it became obvious that our suspicions were aroused, Gable came up with another master stroke. After David Mannion and his associates had spent thousands of pounds bringing over a sophisticated Frenchman, who was either a consummate actor or a trained intelligence operative, to host expensive dinners at

exclusive hotels while pretending, quite convincingly, to be a high-ranking member of the *Front National*, good old Gerry pitched in with a contribution which had us laughing all the way to the Internet — arranging for Nick to be given a pictorial history of the rise to power of Adolf Hitler!

Poor Gerry and his repressed fantasies — and poor Roger Cook. Or, more to the point, poor Carlton TV, which had to foot the enormous bill that had been run up jetting Cook and his team to the south of France where they enjoyed some more of the expense account living which has given Mr. Cook his trademark tubbiness, while waiting in vain for Nick Griffin.

But what really gave the game away was when, after we were virtually certain of what we were up against, we thought we should peruse back issues of *Searchlight* comic to see if we might find any clues. As neither of us usually reads such low-grade tripe it was quite a surprise for us to discover, sticking out like pork chops at a *barmitzvah*, a litany of spiced and concocted stories which were obviously designed to make us more susceptible to accepting the approach from the 'French'. These included the building up of Nick Griffin as the next leader of the BNP, and the use of the 'Reflex' column to allude to the fact that the *Front National* was indeed looking for closer contacts with other European nationalist parties. In a report of Le Pen having spoken to a meeting of a tiny Hungarian nationalist group, it was noted with mock alarm that Le Pen was clearly on the lookout for overseas allies again. Another gem was the mention of how concerned they were becoming about the White Resistance music scene, which appeared just after they had been given a copy of the Radio White Europe discussion paper. Such subtlety!

## Bull

Gable obviously believed not only that we were avid readers of his magazine, but that with each instalment of his fairy tales we would be increasingly likely to fall for the *Cook Report* scam. In practice, however, the opposite was the case: Gable's cunning manoeuvres had all the delicacy of a bull in a china shop, and would not have fooled anybody of average commonsense.

Unfortunately for his own ambitions, Alan Sked, leader of the rapidly disintegrating United

Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) doesn't seem to fall into that category. He fell hook, line and sinker for the lies of the *Searchlight* crew when they urged him to take action against the supposed 'fascist plot' to disrupt and take over UKIP. Acting like the puppet which he almost certainly is (the question of the possible source of the finance and media contacts which enabled him to build UKIP is a question to which I will return at a later date) Sked promptly sacked several leading party officials and sent out a paranoid bulletin to his entire membership, urging them to watch the forthcoming *Cook Report* for the conclusive evidence which would justify his actions.

In the event, no evidence materialised to support such ludicrous allegations and Sked, was forced to make another humiliating climbdown, reinstating the sacked officials and issuing yet another membership bulletin, this time containing a grovelling apology. This experience should make Sked think twice in future about the wisdom of collaborating with proven perverters of the truth, but in view of his already substantial track record of political correctness, bad judgement and incompetence this seems very doubtful.

In fact, with poetic irony, Sked's ill-thought out reliance on Gable's advice has almost certainly doomed UKIP to the very split which *Searchlight* claimed we were planning for it. Sked's demand that the party's executive should allow him to use money from members' subscriptions to settle the enormous legal bill which he ran up as a result of his failed purge looks like sparking the next explosion of internal trouble.

### Smoke and 'phone bills

Gable likes to portray himself as some kind of intelligence master coordinating a sophisticated undercover operation against the BNP. In fact, as the whole *Cook Report* experience proved to us, his intelligence is weak and based primarily upon his ability (which, as the *Sunday Times* recently pointed out, is increasingly easy for anybody with a few hundred pounds to burn) to obtain the itemised telephone bills belonging to selected individuals. From these it can easily be worked

out who is phoning whom, how often and precisely when. From this information it is easy to create quite a comprehensive picture of the inner workings and personal relationships within any organisation, and even to keep an eye open for renewed contacts between people who have been out of touch for years.

Topped up by a few tip-offs from Gable's contacts in MI5, and perhaps by a limited number of transcripts of actual telephone conversations, this is about the sum total of *Searchlight's* intelligence operation; but it is just enough to give superficial credibility to his boastings of a network of 'moles' who pick up every rumour on the nationalist grapevine.

### The truth is out here!

The itemised telephone bill trick was the only way Gable could have known that Nick Griffin had made a number of telephone calls to a Ministry of Defence building earlier this year. Since Gerry has asked repeatedly for the explanation, it seems only fair to put him out of his misery: Nick was merely trying to obtain an accurate and up-to-date figure for the number of British casualties in Bosnia. The shocking figure, which was finally admitted to after a series of telephone calls, and publicised on the back of January's *Spearhead*, was 38 dead at the start of this year.

The fact that several editions of *Searchlight* put out while the Cook scam was in operation included items specifically designed to influence two specific individuals should make very clear to those few remaining nationalists who even see — let alone take any notice of — the rag, that what they are dealing with is a crude piece of psychological warfare propaganda.

Just how crude was shown by the way in which Gable sent out his June issue to large numbers of BNP officials, using the same address list — stolen during the security services' theft of BNP administration computer records — as had earlier been used to send out a mailshot for the pathetic 'British National Socialist Party' which either he or his MI5 friends launched at considerable expense, but to no avail.

*Searchlight* is nothing more nor less than a

primitive version of the MI5-backed *Cook Report*, which runs issue after issue of titillating nonsense based on ordinary police tip-offs, in order to provide the mechanism whereby smear stories and disinformation about political dissidents — from Arthur Scargill and Ulster paramilitaries to the BNP — can be put into mass circulation to sway a tiny number of opinion-formers and political activists. Just as the main purpose of several issues of *Searchlight* was to con Nick and me, so the main purpose of the *Cook Report* on the BNP was to influence a couple of hundred key nationalists and to create division in our ranks; the audience of several million ordinary couch potatoes was incidental.

Gable and his ilk have absolutely no idea as to what motivates us — it's biologically impossible for them to do so. This is why the people used over the years to get close to nationalists in a variety of enemy infiltration attempts have been hired turncoats or security service operatives. Gable's strange view of us conditioned by his own mixed-up fantasies; but, in spite of its manifest absurdities, his rag can be misleading to the inexperienced and naive.

### Anti-life mindset

It is no accident that reading *Searchlight* leaves a very bad taste in the mouth. It leaves one feeling unclean in the same way as does perverted and degenerate TV soap opera. This is the case because it basically originates from the same pornographic, anti-life mindset which is completely alien to our positive, healthy way of viewing the world. As with filth and porn on TV, there is only one way of ensuring that you are not tainted by it: by refusing to view it.

Then the very peculiar Mr. Gable can go on dreaming of 'Nazi plots', swastikas, jackboots and leather whips, to his very black heart's content. Let's leave him to do so, and ignore him henceforth.

We've got more important things to do — building a serious, professional and modern nationalist organisation capable of mounting a genuine democratic challenge for political power in the next century, so as to secure a genuine future for our children.

## REFLEX REPORTS FRANCE



Reflex is the French equivalent of *Searchlight*. It publishes a magazine and carries out excellent research and analysis of the far right in France. Its staff have a strong sense of international cooperation and have agreed to exchange articles with *Searchlight* on a regular basis.

The masthead of *Searchlight's* regular, highly-selective report from France — note how the artwork shows Gable's support for violence. A number of pieces in this column earlier this year were inserted purely to make the *Cook Report* approach more credible. The whole magazine is a platform for such 'psywar' tricks; the only way to be sure of not being taken in is not to read it. If you do come across a local shop trying to sell copies, don't buy one. Instead, pass a note of its name and address to ITMA Researchers, 93c Venner Road, London, SE26 5HU, as ITMA's Alexander Baron regularly helps individuals who have been libelled by *Searchlight* to bring court actions against both Gable and any shop foolish enough to continue stocking his disreputable rag. Do you want to help Gable, or help to put him out of business? It's your choice!

# HOW THEY TRY TO BEND YOUR MIND

## Extracts from *The Great Steriopticon and how it works*, by South African Professor M. J. HURRY

*"The Great Steriopticon like most gadgets has been progressively improved and added to, until today it is a machine of three parts: the press, the motion pictures and the radio."* (Richard Weaver, *Ideas Have Consequences*, University of Chicago Press, 1948).

Since Professor Richard Weaver wrote these words, a fourth part, television, has been added .... television has created a twilight world where the boundary between reality and fantasy is lost in shadows. But the oldest part of the steriopticon is the newspaper:

The much-vaunted freedom of the press is a strange one. Freedom of the press means freedom to distort, freedom to lie, freedom to conceal facts, freedom to cast aspersion and innuendo, freedom to sow discord, freedom to intrude on grief and privacy, and freedom to confuse.

The parameters of permitted debate are carefully set and prescribed. Certain subjects are absolutely taboo. The newspapers have scores of negatively loaded words to turn discussions away from dangerous areas. The conditioned masses react to them like animals up against an electrified fence, shying away in panic. Such words include 'racism', 'neo-Nazi', 'prejudice', 'anti-semitic', 'extremist', 'neo-fascist', 'colonialist' ....

Thus the minds of men are pre-conditioned until their attitudes are no longer their own. Emotional convictions are planted in them. Constant repetition of emotive phrases has its deadly effects: a lie repeated often enough becomes accepted as 'truth' ....

The public is fed thousands of snippets of information, some true, some false, some relevant, some irrelevant, some corroborative, some conflicting. No clear pattern emerges from the mosaic and the average man shrugs his shoulders and gives up trying to understand the world situation. Politics becomes a thing apart, as do economic and foreign policy. He turns instead to sporting reports, the contemplation of photographed female anatomy, or the salacious details of some divorce case.

### FILMS

The film industry is ideally suited to propaganda because the camera technique has tremendous power for synthesis, suggesting by a sequence of images the emotions and characters of the players. T. S. Eliot's 'heap of broken images' or 'objective correlative' concepts are particularly valid in the cinema world ....

Films rely on visual techniques, a tearstained

cheek, a coffin, a landscape, animals, especially birds, rain, flowers and so forth to symbolise emotions .... Background music .... In the hands of unskilled directors the techniques are cheap. In the hands of a master of propaganda their impact is deadly .... A succession of images and sounds have registered at a subliminal level, and the viewer is unconsciously conditioned.

Written propaganda can be analysed. Indeed, once the technique of emotive writing is understood such writing loses all impact and becomes counter-productive .... Film propaganda is more difficult to withstand because images conjure up involuntary associations that are not the results of overt or explicit statements. The darkened auditorium with a focal point of light induces a hypnotic effect which leads to the viewer becoming involved more easily in the coloured and moving shadows in front of him. And so the doses of poison can be administered to the unwitting victims.

the course of three or four hours ensures this. News bulletins, advertisements, pop groups, scientific discussion, comedy and cultural programmes follow one another, so that a great equalisation process takes place. Nothing is assigned absolute, or even relative, importance ....

The effect of this juxtaposition is to relegate everything to remote irrelevance and to heighten the average man's impression that the world is absurd and beyond logical comprehension ....

Perhaps the most terrifying technique of all is subliminal brainwashing. If a message is flashed on a screen at 1/25th of a second and repeated at intervals, the viewer receives and registers the 'message' without knowing it. The eye can register and the brain receive such messages, but they are too fast to correlate the image and the message consciously. The reader may refer to numerous works of research on this technique for corroboration of these statements.



Cable TV: yet another part of the media mind-bending machine. Popular opinion is manipulated with a potent mixture of sex, sport, propaganda and carefully selected news

### TELEVISION

Similar techniques are used in television. But this latter medium is far more effective for propaganda. Television has two major advantages over film: firstly, it is in the house and accessible; and, secondly, it is a perfect vehicle for documentaries and newsreels where skilful editing or false captions create distortions and emphases that vary from bias to outright lies.

One of the least understood of television effects on the human psyche is what might be termed 'distancing'. This is the process whereby the viewer is made indifferent to reality, and incapable of distinguishing between matters of moment and trivia. The kaleidoscopic presentation of material over

### MUSIC

On the positive side, every effort must be made to encourage an appreciation of classical music in our young people ....

Music is a power for good or evil that should not be underestimated. Aristotle knew this centuries ago, with his pioneering works on natural harmonics, and some very fascinating research has emerged recently on the correspondence between Alpha brain-wave patterns and the largo rhythms of Baroque music ....

Experiments have shown that the artificial induction of Alpha brain-wave patterns can greatly facilitate the learning of languages ....

Music is not only a reflection of a culture but a means of upliftment—or destruction.

# IAN BUCKLEY takes a last look at the general election and asks: **WHO ARE THE REAL 'EXTREMISTS'?**

THE intelligence expert James Rusbridger once kindly pointed out that you could find out how people voted by comparing perforations on ballot papers with voters' registration numbers written on their stubs. According to Rusbridger, Britain's political secret police, MI5, have used this method since at least the 1960s to identify the supporters of 'extremist' candidates. If that is so, thousands of ordinary citizens in Dewsbury alone will by now have been noted down by MI5 clerks. Incidentally, don't mention this to people when you're out canvassing!

It would seem that the powers that be have a different definition of 'extremism' to the rest of us. It isn't extreme to dismantle our industry, to condemn our old folk to die of hypothermia (a greater percentage than in Finland), to turn Britain into a Third World country. Oh no! It's just 'extreme' to object to such things!

The financial dictatorship that passes itself off as a democracy offers two allegedly different parties to gull the masses. Let's hear these parties' views on just one important subject — defence — in their own words, from their respective manifestos at the last general election:-

"The old rivalries of the Cold War have been replaced by new tensions. Our armed forces are the most professional in the world. NATO will remain the cornerstone of our security."  
(Conservative)

"The post-Cold War world faces a range of new security challenges. Our armed forces are among the most effective in the world. Our security will continue to be based on Nato."  
(Labour)

Apart from noting the convergence

between the old parties which effectively denies the electorate any real choice at all, we should understand that the support for New Labour in the election was entirely negative. It was a massive anti-Conservative landslide, not a pro-Labour one. The Labour party has kept the useless and unpopular PC parts of its programme, and dumped policies which, advertised by its slick propaganda machine, could have won support.

Labour's policy changes went way



**Brutal attacks on pensioners and children are now commonplace. Who are the real extremists, us for objecting to such things, or those whose liberal policies have destroyed law and order?**

beyond what was needed to gain popularity. This was not the selling of Blair, but the selling out of Labour. Surveys even of Conservative party members (believe it or not, there are still some left!) have revealed that a majority of them want the railways and Royal Mail kept in public ownership. The real reason

for the phenomenon of New Labour is simply that the accelerating pace of decline means that the Tories can no longer deliver the goods. The forces of rampant economic liberalism that took over the Conservative Party twenty years ago have therefore now moved *en masse* to Labour.

The international capitalists worked the Conservative horse to skin and bone, then led the poor beast to the knacker's yard. And they've changed to the New Labour horse for the next phase of the race.

Numerous articles by leading political commentators, such as Samuel Brittan, have long argued that Labour is better placed to "bring about far-reaching reforms in the Welfare State."

**Don't be fooled! That's just fancy language for the state pension going down the pan, as the NHS crumbles into decay.**

The real purpose of such moves is clear: to hide the fact that free trade has done so much damage to our manufacturing base that there is no longer the money to finance pensions or the health service. And the real effect — apart from staving off the collapse of the pension system for a few more years — will be to free up the cash held in Government accounts into the grasping hands of the usurers of the City and Wall Street.

These besuited spivs are at this very moment drooling in anticipation of the juicy profits in store. The City sharks know that compulsory private pensions — for those who can afford them — will net billions for the financial services industry.

**The millions who voted New Labour will soon curse themselves for their stupidity and gullibility. We, my fellow extremists, must remind them at every opportunity that there is an alternative to decay, degeneracy and decline.**

# THE DOMINO EFFECT

NICK GRIFFIN explains why the internationalists are having nightmares about the new millennium

IN PUBLIC at least, one of the main stated reasons for the Vietnam war was the Americans' fear of what they termed "the domino effect." If South Vietnam fell to the Communists, warned the CIA, it would set off a chain reaction throughout South East Asia, which would topple one after another of the ragbag of plutocracies and capitalist dictatorships of the client states of the region's dollar empire.

Thirty years later, a new domino effect worries not only the intelligence services of America and her puppet states around the world, but also the influential liberal-minority coalition which was once so active in the media, universities and streets campaigning for the Viet Cong. Whatever their differences all those years ago, Western capitalists and 'ex'-Communists alike are now united in fear of the new force threatening to win a chain of victories which will sweep their corrupt regimes into the rubbish bin of history: racial nationalism.

Just twenty years ago there was a general feeling among politically-aware people of all shades of opinion that Marxism was the wave of the future. Portugal and Rhodesia had gone. As soon as they had mopped up in Afganistan, Soviet forces would surely strike through Iran or Pakistan to seize ports on the Indian Ocean. The 'Reds' were not only winning in Asia and advancing throughout Africa, they were knocking on the gates of power in Italy and France.

Even the more stable states of Northern Europe seemed to be heading in the same direction by degrees, with egalitarian ideas and policies advancing three steps under each socialist administration and only being forced back one step under each conservative one.

## Global advance

Of course, the Soviet military 'threat' was always wildly overrated, with all sorts of powerful forces having vested interests in convincing us that we could wake up one morning to find Russian soldiers with snow on their boots tramping through the capitals of Western Europe. But even though that was always nonsense, the global advance of both the Communist and capitalist versions of internationalism was a very real fact. It wasn't just a matter of their taking over the levers of economic and political power, there was also a fundamental ideological and cultural shift in their favour. This was true at every level, from the liberal theories dominating the book review pages of even scientific journals, to the

unchallenged left-wing hegemony in youth sub-cultures.

How things have changed today! Of course, the internationalists are still in control. Indeed, they are working frantically to tighten their grip, speeding up and intensifying their programmes of mass indoctrination into the wonders of multi-culturalism, homosexuality and the destruction of the nation-state. Scarcely a day goes by without news of another prosecution somewhere in the world for race or revisionist thought-crimes, or of new proposals to outlaw free speech and to censor the Internet "to prevent the insidious spread of the twin evils of racism and anti-semitism."

The very fact that such measures are felt necessary is a reflection of the liberals' fears. Ruling classes and ideologies which are confident and stable do not need to adopt repressive measures against their opponents. When things are under control and the future looks rosy, dissidents can safely be allowed their freedom to preach to their own little groups of faithful followers.

## Disastrous policies

But our liberal masters know that their economic, social and immigration policies spell disaster for millions. They know that every fresh piece of scientific research into genetics or psychology bangs another nail into the coffin of their materialist dogma of innate human equality. They know that a huge and growing slice of the electorate, particularly the young, have lost all faith in the corrupt and dessicated figureheads of party politics.

**They know that there is an earthquake coming, and they can already feel the ground shifting beneath their feet.**

One only has to open the pages of one of the 'quality' newspapers to see how things are shaping up for the future. A typical example was the *Sunday Telegraph* of 6th July. In an article about the growing scandal over links between drugs and the diamond trade in Belgium, there were not only unusually honest references to the ethnic and religious backgrounds of those involved, but a telling reference to the *Vlaams Blok*, described as Antwerp's biggest political party: "bitterly hostile to immigrants — it recently opposed construction of a mosque."

On the same day, the paper's Sunday magazine ran a four-page feature on Australia's Pauline Hanson and the way in which opposition to Asian immigration and to globalist exploitation by multi-national companies has become the central theme in

Australian politics. Similarly, it is hard to open a newspaper without coming across material about the *Front National*. The French have coined a new word — *lepenisation* — to describe the process whereby the controversial nationalist leader has become the central figure of their political life, with all the old parties shifting their ground to avoid losing yet more supporters to the *FN*.

It is still too early for most people to see what is going on clearly, but such trends are just the beginnings of a worldwide political revolution. If this seems too much to hope for when some snappy little dog nearly has your finger off and then shreds the leaflet which you've just put through yet another letterbox, remember that this is not just the nationalist analysis of the situation. There is an endless supply of material pointing in the same direction in the heavyweight newspapers. I make no apologies for returning to this theme in *Spearhead* yet again. Many patriots have become accustomed to years of retreat and defeat, and think, like Milton's Satan, in terms of fighting a rearguard action out of sheer bloody-mindedness:-

"What though the field be lost?  
All is not lost; the unconquerable will,  
And study of revenge, immortal hate,  
And courage never to submit or yield."

A fine attitude, but one that misses the point: nationalism has *not* lost the field, *our* day is yet to come, and it will come quicker when all our people understand that we really are "not the last of yesterday, but the first of tomorrow." There is no better way to make this clear than to quote our enemies who have come to the same conclusion.

Thus it is well worthwhile quoting John Gray's article 'If the fez fits' in *The Guardian* of January 8th last year. Commenting on the strong showing of the radical Islamic party *Refah* in Turkey's parliamentary elections, he warned :-

"Though their circumstances and histories are vastly different, developments in Turkey and Malaysia exemplify the same historical movement, in which non-occidental peoples are increasingly rejecting — as flawed, declining and destructive of their traditions — Western models of modernisation and development.

"This is a movement that is not restricted to Islamic cultures. The East Asian tiger economies attribute their extraordinary achievements to their resistance to Western individualism and economic *laissez-faire*.

"It is difficult to assess the impact on Western societies of the dawning realisation that the epoch in which they were governor and tutor of the entire world has come to an end. We can be sure, though, that it will be incalculably large, and accompanied by enormous disorientation and dehal...."

"The deeper lesson of Islamist advance in Turkey is that the conflicts by which the world will be riven in the coming century will not be between different Western ideologies. They will be conflicts fuelled by militant religions, resurgent ethnicities and — not least — by the pressures of expanding populations on scarce natural resources. In such a world we should not expect liberal values to spread. A sufficiently demanding objective for liberal cultures will be survival."

A month later, writing in the US magazine *Dissent*, German sociologist Ulrich Beck expressed the related view that, as global free trade divests itself of responsibility for economic and social stability, it is digging its own grave:-

"Let there be no illusions: a capitalism focused only on ownership and profits, which turns its back on the employed, on the social-welfare state, and on democracy, will undermine itself. While the profit margins of the multinational corporations are growing, these same companies are stripping the high-priced countries of both jobs and tax revenue."

'High-priced countries' and 'liberal cultures' are, of course, politically correct code for 'the white world.'

### Realities of power

The growing power of the multinationals is also dealt with at length by John Vidal in *McLibel, Burger Culture on Trial*, recently published by Macmillans. An edited extract printed in *The Guardian* on April 30th was headed "The real politics of power: Tomorrow we vote. But let's not fool ourselves. With the rise and rise of corporations — many of which are now larger than countries — national governments and people may never have had less to say." In his article, Vidal comments on:-

"... the extraordinary vision of nation states handing over social services, health, pensions, even prisons and crime prevention to corporations who are often only nominally based within their borders. What we may be seeing today is one of the most dramatic shifts in power relationships since western colonialism began...."

"It is in the new centres of power — Brussels, trade bodies such as the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (now the World Trade Organisation) and North American Free Trade Association (Nafta) — that policy decisions that affect millions of lives are being made mostly by and for the interests of the corporations. Nicholas Hildyard, co-editor of the *Ecologist* magazine, cites the European Round Table, a lobbying group of senior EU industrialists and market ideologues, set up in the early 1980s when

**How are the mighty fallen! Taliban fighters celebrate the execution of Afghanistan's former Communist President Najibullah. The rise of Islamic fundamentalism is becoming a serious threat to global capitalism's 'New World Order' plans. It is also destined to produce a reaction in Europe in favour of white racial nationalism. 20 per cent of Muslims in Britain are already closely aligned to the rapidly growing Taliban movement, which is particularly popular among young Asians born in Britain**



the prospects of Economic integration were faltering. Senior EC bureaucrats and executives of the largest European-based corporations combined to lobby politicians. Indeed, it was two industrialists who drafted the original proposal for a single European market.

"...The power of the ERT is undoubted: when it brings out a report, whole passages are often reproduced in European common policy papers.

"Similarly in the US, where the Business Round Table is one of America's most exclusive and powerful organisations. Its 200 members include representatives of 42 out of the top 50 US corporations, seven of the eight largest commercial banks, and nine of the 11 largest utilities.

"A classic example of the exercise of corporate power was the pushing through of the Nafta deal between Mexico and the US in 1993/94. BRT officials lobbied furiously for the agreement that would open the Mexican market to services, allow them to export polluting companies, relocate in cheaper labour markets and dump heavily subsidised products on the market. All but four of BRT's 200 representatives sat on Nafta advisory committees."

Vidal concludes by bemoaning the impact of all this on Mexico, which includes the loss of 2.2 million jobs and a rise in the number living in 'extreme poverty' from 30 to 40 million. It would, of course, still be too much to expect a writer in the *Guardian* to comment on the impact of such policies on white America, but factory closures and a renewed wave of desperate Mexican immigrants are changing the face of the south west of the USA.

Regrettable though all the human suffering and environmental damage done by such

policies is, their long-term significance is that they are creating the conditions for a mighty backlash. The electoral rise of nationalism around the world is one early sign of this; another is the way in which even left-wing journalists are beginning at last to see the nation-state not as a source of war and the root of all evil, but as the only possible defence for the individual worker against the might of the global corporations.

### "Terrified"

They are not the only ones. On April 7th the American magazine *Forbes* included an article about the Jewish multi-billionaire George Soros. It related how this fantastically rich international currency speculator is busily spending huge sums from his fortune buying newspapers and backing left-wing groups throughout Eastern Europe. Soros is spending hundreds of millions of dollars a year to keep ex-Communists in control of countries such as Hungary. Why? *Forbes* quotes one of his friends and kinsmen, a banker: "Soros is terrified of right-wing nationalism."

This is what has also prompted Soros to urge his fellow internationalists to curb voluntarily their greed, before it destroys what is left of the social and economic fabric of the West, and so leads to the victory of the nationalism he so dreads.

Unfortunately for Soros and his friends, however, their system is uncontrollable. If any one speculator or multinational decides to refrain from logging a forest, polluting a lake or shifting a factory from Birmingham to Haiphong, then someone else will seize the chance and make the killing.

**Just as the scorpion had to sting the**

Cont. overleaf

Cont. from page 15

kindly frog who was helping him across the stream, the globalists have to go on destroying the socio-economic base of the liberal political consensus, even though they can see the process ending in their own destruction too.

Of course, they don't fancy losing their power and wealth, let alone an encounter with the rougher forms of justice which history tends to inflict upon losers, which is why Mr. Soros buys elections in Eastern Europe, while others buy the leaders of political parties in the West. And that is why their political puppets all over Europe and North America are so keen to pass 'Hate Laws', to imprison dissidents and to gerrymander the electoral system in a self-defeating attempt to keep out nationalists.

If the threat of nationalism was confined just to one country, they really wouldn't bother very much about it. Older readers will recall how Franco's Spain, although a pet hate for the extreme left, was basically tolerated as a sort of hangover from the bad old days. Once *El Caudillio* himself had emasculated the Falange — with its potential to inspire 'dangerous' political change in other Latin countries — international pressure against the regime became little more than a formality. Spain was no threat, no one else looked set to follow her example, so Spain was left in peace.

## Growing potential 'threat'

But things are very different today. There is scarcely a country in the white world where racial nationalism is not widely acknowledged to be at least a potential threat to the established liberal order. For some time, such threats were generally contained by the simple expedient of giving the extreme left *carte blanche* to attack nationalist meetings and personnel, but the collapse of Marxist morale and organisation since 1989 means that this is no longer enough. Accordingly, we are now seeing the security forces of liberal states take a much more active role in campaigns to disrupt patriotic movements, both by subversion and by repression.


Additionally, our opponents have at their disposal the most powerful propaganda machine in the history of the world. It is deployed against us in a myriad of ways, but, at the end of the day, anti-nationalist propaganda always boils down to the same very simple point, repeated over and over again: "Look what happened last time — Six Million gassed Jews."

Now, even if the Germans *did* systematically gas millions of Jews more than fifty years ago, I can't see why my grandchildren should have to grow up as a minority of second-class citizens in their own land to make up for it. And, of course, having studied all the available evidence, I — like a host of historians, chemists, photographic experts, former camp inmates and guards — have the gravest doubts about very many aspects of the 'Holocaust' story.

If, as could well happen within only a few

years, the Exterminationist view of World War Two collapses in public ruin, the removal of this cynically manipulated artificial restraint to free debate on questions of nationalism and race will lead to a paradigm shift in political life. If, on the other hand, a combination of media blackout and repressive legislation prevents this happening, it will only hold us back for a few more years. It's all getting to be so long ago that the whole thing is increasingly irrelevant to ordinary people. Moreover, the argument about what did or did not happen 'last time' has only a few years left to run, because the first domino has only a few years left before it falls.

Whether it is France, Austria, Australia or wherever, the next downturn in the global trade cycle looks set to bring a nationalist party to power in at least one civilised, respectable, industrial nation. While that nation can expect to suffer howls of indignation from the world's controlled media, and to be subjected to an international trade boycott, it



**"Terrified of right-wing nationalism" — International speculator George Soros pours millions of dollars every year into propping up corrupt ex-Communist regimes which permit the exploitation of their people by international finance.**

will prove that the election of a nationalist government does not automatically lead to World War Three. A white nation will set about dismantling multi-racialism through changes in the law and financial inducements, without a single gas chamber or impossible smoking chimney. The taboo will be broken.

And there's more. The first racial nationalist state, although a pariah to liberals, will be supported by a growing number of political dissidents and disgruntled ordinary citizens in every white country in the world. Its radio stations and satellite TV channels could, within weeks, be broadcasting in favour of white survival and national freedom in a dozen different languages. Even if the renowned intolerance of the 'tolerant' has by then censored the Internet in every other state in the

world, the electronic curtain of liberal censorship will be torn down overnight, for it is impossible to blockade the Web.

Furthermore, the rapidly increasing sophistication of automatic translation software already makes it possible for nationalists in different countries to exchange ideas, security warnings and tactical innovations to a degree which, up until now, has only been possible among our Zionist opponents. *(If any reader has access to business quality translation software, particularly for French, German, Italian or Russian, please let us know straight away - Ed.)*

Thus, by example, and by restoring a measure of freedom, the emergence of the first racial nationalist state will lead inevitably to the creation of others. The dominos will fall, in a process which will gain momentum as it goes on.

## Unlikely allies

It won't even just be the white world that is liberated. We have already seen how the end of the internationalists' Nafta rip-off would lift millions of Mexicans out of poverty. The hundreds of thousands of Iraqi children slowly dying through lack of food or simple drugs thanks to the international boycott would be saved. All sorts of unlikely countries would suddenly find that they were better off cooperating with the growing bloc of white nations than with the World Bank or the Zionist-dominated government of the United States. **When it all starts to happen, it will happen very quickly.**

The liberals vaguely understand all this, and the people behind the liberals understand it even better than we do.

That is why, while Markus Wolf, the former head of East Germany's notorious secret police, the Stasi, received only a two-year suspended sentence for his multiple crimes, including the authorisation of torture and murder, German patriot Gunther Deckert — already in prison for thought-crimes — got an extra two years simply for translating a revisionist pamphlet which pointed out the now admitted fact that the supposed gas chambers at Auschwitz are in fact post-war 'reconstructions'. It is why George Eric Hawthorne of Resistance Records was sentenced to a year in prison for defending himself from attack by a Marxist mob and is still banned from having any political contact whatsoever. It is why Rian Orthmann, a young man in prison in Oregon, served ten months in solitary confinement as a punishment for ending a letter with the '14 Words' — "We must secure the existence of our people and a future for white children."

**It is why they, and growing numbers of white nationalists worldwide, stare through bars and barbed wire, while some of the vilest humanoids ever to have walked the earth strut the corridors of power, and loot and degrade their subjects. It is also why the nightmare of their misrule won't last much longer.**

# RITUAL SLAUGHTER — THE FIRST STEP TOWARDS A BAN

**SYLVIA NOBLE** gives an update on the changed attitude of the IFAW and calls for further action

**FOLLOWING** a fresh exchange of letters with the International Fund for Animal Welfare, the IFAW's UK Director, Cindy Milburn, wrote on June 11th: "We fully understand your strong feelings about the issue of ritual slaughter and would recommend you contact your local MP and urge him/her to change the legislation which allows this barbaric slaughter to continue."

I already have a letter from my Labour MP, Gordon Prentice, confirming his support for the labelling of the large amount of meat killed in this way but released for general sale. This alone would go a very long way to ending the ritual slaughter horror, by raising public awareness of the scale of the scandal, particularly because *kosher* practice only allows the use of the forequarters of beef animals, so that the prime hindquarter cuts all end up in Gentile butchers' shops, as do any slightly damaged carcasses, which are held to be 'unclean' and also sold to unsuspecting native Britons. Once this was widely known, it would make a subsequent total ban a realistic possibility.

Denmark has already moved a long way towards this, having a general prohibition except for by a very small number of companies which, in order to supply religious minorities only, are supervised by the Danish Veterinary Service while carrying out the ritual slaughter of cattle and sheep which have first been anaesthetised.

Tony Blair, however, has assured the Jewish Board of Deputies that he will not introduce labelling or interfere with ritual slaughter. Thus he supports not only animal suffering, but also the denial of the right of the indigenous majority to control the law in our own country and prevent a practice which we find abhorrent.

Then, on July 2nd, I was surprised and gratified to receive a positive response from the IFAW's Julie Craddock. She wrote that, although the IFAW feel that they do not have any specific influence within the Labour Government, they will

take up animal welfare issues with Blair & Co. if they do not provide an adequate response to an animal welfare query.

In particular, the IFAW intend to write to the Government regarding its decision not to introduce the labelling of ritually slaughtered meat. This will put it on the spot, so it is going to be very interesting to see how Labour reacts.

To what extent the IFAW's apparent about-turn is the result of pressure from *Spearhead* readers (several at least cancelled their IFAW subscriptions) is

**"It is preposterous that millions of animals must suffer unnecessarily because human beings fail to overcome their superstitious and out-moded dietary regimes"**

unknown; it might genuinely have been that the IFAW had merely let this issue slip somewhat and would have returned to it of their own accord. In any case, having helped to get the ball rolling for a renewed campaign for the labelling of ritually slaughtered meat, readers with a particular interest in this issue should keep the pressure up. Letters to the local

press and to the International Fund for Animal Welfare, Warren Court, Park Road, Crowborough, East Sussex, urging them to do more about this, would be particularly useful.

On a slightly different angle, it is worth pointing out the fact that the majority of the sheep illegally slaughtered by Muslims in France during the Eid festivities are transported from Britain. Britain is also the largest exporter of ritually slaughtered meat in Europe.

The matter of the annual Eid bloodbath could also be raised with the EU Agriculture Commissioner, Franz Fischler, 200 rue de la Loi, 1049, Brussels, Belgium, who should be urged to take action against France for allowing it.

In the words of the late, great Lord Houghton of Sowerby, whose dedication to the welfare of animals remains unrivalled by any other politician, in a letter to me in September 1990:-

"Animals must be protected by laws which apply uniformly and without exception, irrespective of religious beliefs or practices. ... It is preposterous that millions of animals must suffer unnecessarily because human beings fail to overcome their superstitious and out-moded dietary regimes."

**Tony Blair — take note!**

## Have you joined the Welling Club?

The Welling Club is a special group which exists to help raise money for the British National Party. Membership is open to all persons, whether or not BNP members, willing to contribute £20 each month to the party's national funds.

Welling Club members are sent regular bulletins informing them of the progress in achieving various important BNP targets which is being made with the help of their donations. They are also entitled to attend, free of charge, an annual club dinner addressed by the BNP Chairman.

Those wishing to join the Welling Club should apply, sending their initial £20 contribution (made out to the 'British National Party'), to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW

# BNP men in Race Act prosecution

MORE than six months after former Lib-Dem Home Affairs spokesman Alex Carlile instigated police raids on the homes of Nick Griffin and Paul Ballard with a complaint about issue no. 12 of *The Rune*, it has just been confirmed that they are to be prosecuted for publishing and distributing 'racially inflammatory material'. The maximum penalty is two years in prison.

Nick's and Paul's first court appearance is at Bow Street Magistrates' Court on August 5th. The case is likely to go to Crown Court early in the New Year. Although the prosecution is being brought under the Race Law provisions of the Public Order Act, only a couple of Carlile's minor complaints even cover comments touching on immigration. The bulk of the material objected to deals with events and non-events during World War Two.

Before the recent general election, Labour promised urgent action to pass, within six months, legislation banning revisionist criticism of even the most absurd Exterminationist propaganda. As Blair's office and campaign was financed almost exclusively by Zionists, it came as something of a surprise when the Queen's Speech made no mention of such a Bill, which cannot therefore be introduced for at least 18 months.


Normally, breaking such a promise to this powerful and influential pressure group would spark a wave of media hysteria, but in this case not a word has been said about it. The explanation can only be the sorry record of 'Holocaust denial' laws in other countries, where they have created well-publicised martyrs for free speech, and led people who had never even given the accepted version of events a second thought to start asking awkward questions. Even worse for the

Exterminationists, the European Court of Human Rights recently held that the Austrian version of this absurd law was against the European Convention's guarantee of freedom of speech.

These problems have clearly convinced the enemies of free speech in Britain that it would be better to try to deal with this problem under the existing Race Laws, rather than introducing a specific new 'offence'.

The choice of Bow Street for the initial hearing suggests that the actual case will be heard at the Old Bailey in a high-profile political show-trial. Speaking shortly after he was charged, Nick Griffin told *Spearhead*:-

"I really can't believe that they have been stupid enough to press charges over the sort of material to which Carlile objected. What is a jury going to make of the claim that a phrase such as 'Wanted: More white children' is threatening or insulting? The only remotely contentious material relates to historical revisionism, so it really looks as though they want a British Zundel trial. If so, that's exactly what they're going to get."



# INTERNET NEWS



The new BNP Website <<http://www.webcom/bnp>> is registering a steadily increasing number of visitors. Exclusive this month is the full text of a lively 35-page analysis of the *Cook Report* scam. After a gripping mini-detective story which tells what went on and how the BNP 'victims' turned the tables on the opposition, this analyses the motives behind the programme. Past splits in the nationalist movement are examined in depth, exposing some of the tricks which opponents of white survival use to divide and marginalise racial patriots. Don't miss the opportunity to study this historic document, and make sure you download a copy for friends who are still in the last century!

Another site well worth a visit is run by pioneering American revisionist journalist Michael Hoffman. <<http://www.hoffman-info.com>> is the electronic nerve-centre of the Campaign for Radical Truth in History. It already includes two 'virtual' museums, brimming with photographs and testimony: *The Museum of the Israeli Holocaust against the Palestinians* and *The Museum of the Communist Holocaust against Russia and Eastern Europe*.

Readers not able to view these at present might like to send for a copy of Mr. Hoffman's catalogue of books, tapes and videos, and of his excellent new magazine, *Revisionist History*. The first issue provides a fascinating study of the beliefs and campaigns of the brilliant American Civil War Confederate commander Nathan Bedford Forrest. Not only is this well worth reading, but Hoffman's courage and vision in pursuing such a variety of initiatives deserves support. Send a well-concealed £5 note to: PO Box 849, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816, USA.

Readers interested in historical revisionism should also try the excellent site run by the Institute for Historical Review's Greg Raven, which now receives more than two thousand visits every day, with the average caller viewing twelve files per visit. Take a look yourself on <<http://www.kaiwan.com/ihrgreg>>

Finally, while on the subject of good Websites in the USA, extracts from the thought-provoking magazine *American Renaissance* can be found at <<http://www.amren.com>> And, of course, the National Alliance continues its sterling work with the American Dissident Voices radio station, which can be heard on <[www.natvan.com](http://www.natvan.com)>

## A NATIONALIST VOCABULARY

### Catalyst

Person or event or agency triggering a significant and usually radical change. A defining characteristic of the catalyst/catalytic event is its own smallness in relation to the scale of events it puts in train. A typical historic example is the assassination of the Archduke Ferdinand, which triggered a massively destructive European war in which millions died for no worthwhile result. In the political context, when conditions are, right an apparently minor event can precipitate radical changes.

### Civilisation

The necessary pre-conditions for civilisations worthy of the name are:

- Racial homogeneity and cohesion.
- A long period of relative social stability and prosperity
- High educational standards
- Good infrastructures — roads, sewers, water supplies, food production, power sources, etc.
- Sophisticated literature, art and music
- Enlightened legislation
- An independent and humane judiciary

It remains an essentially European achievement on which the rest of the world has been more or less dependent for whatever socio-economic progress it has achieved. The most 'modernised' Asiatic, African and Latin-American countries are built on European foundations in such fields as engineering, science, architecture, civic administration, education, health care, etc.

### Compromise

A 'weasel word' of liberal politicians for what is essentially an act of surrender or betrayal. Typical specimens are

- 'Negotiated settlement', meaning surrender of Ulster to the IRA terrorists, Dublin politicians and the hish-American lobby.
- 'Subsidiarity', meaning surrender of British national sovereignty to a federal Europe
- 'Community policing', meaning a grossly over-indulgent and cowardly attitude towards criminals in the inner cities (qv).

# HITTING BACK

## MATTHEW ARMITAGE looks at ways to drop a few spanners in the multi-racial works

FOR MANY years now we've been antagonised by having to complete the 'Ethnic Background' section of job application forms and, increasingly, others as well. The objective of this nonsense is for the company to prove that it's complying with the Equal Opportunities Act. It certainly has no bearing on the job and is usually a separate tear-off portion of the form. It is also voluntary — you are only requested to complete this section.

Since the Ethnic Background question is objectionable and liable to be used for 'positive' (i.e. anti-white) discrimination, why not refuse to answer it. It wouldn't take many people to do this to make a mess of the statistics, rendering the farce totally useless. In the unlikely event of a prospective employer asking you to complete the form, you can avoid any comeback by claiming that you'd simply overlooked that section the first time around. This isn't being cowardly, as the race commissars have the power at the moment, and at least you'd have made some protest.

A slightly bolder response would be to say something like: "Sorry, I forgot to complete the stupid nonsense. Why have we got to do it anyway? Does anyone ever check up?" This might, at least, get them thinking.

Alternatively, you could flatly refuse to fill it in, arguing that "as it's voluntary I'm volunteering not to complete it." Public sector employers in particular, though, might not take kindly to such politically incorrect views, so be careful on this one.

Another possibility is to tick where you

like and see if anyone notices. On one form you could be an Afro-Caribbean, on another an Asian, and so on. You might even consider trying the box marked 'Other'; ever thought of becoming a Martian?

A more subtle approach might be to point out to any ethnic workmates that a future racist government could one day use such records in ensuring that companies do not employ a higher proportion of non-whites than is present in the area from which they draw their staff. In such cases, the present advertising phrase that "this company is an equal opportunities employer" would be replaced by a note that "this company has exceeded its quota of ethnics so applicants from the ethnic minorities need not apply." Such statistics could even be useful in organising a repatriation programme. Some people might be quite concerned by that idea!

The exact way in which you drop a spanner in the works of multi-racial bureaucracy isn't as important as making sure that you do something. Even quite small acts of resistance build up, causing problems for the race commissars, and giving heart to the silent majority who cower under their rule. The objective of the Ethnic Background question is to provide the statistics needed to justify and organise the giving of jobs to ethnics at the expense of the indigenous inhabitants of Britain. That is a simple mathematical fact.

**By refusing to cooperate we not only hit back against an evil and discriminatory policy which has already lasted too long, we also safeguard our own jobs.**

## Remember the BNP in your will!

We wish all our readers a long life, but none of us can stay on this earth for ever. Have you ever thought about how you can help the cause when you are gone?

The British National Party has benefitted immensely from a few of its supporters remembering the party in their wills. In most cases the money has been invested so as to guarantee the party a regular income, rather than being spent all in one go.

Why not become one of these benefactors yourself? Whatever you do, make sure you do not die intestate and so let your estate go to prop up the anti-British Establishment!

It is natural that if you have a family you should wish to provide for them; but nevertheless you may well like to set aside something for the good cause as well. If, on the other hand, you have no next of kin, there is all the more reason for ensuring that the Cause gets something by which to remember you.

If you require any advice on this matter, please ring or write in and we will be pleased to help.

# Let the wind speak

## IAN BUCKLEY concludes his study of the heroic career of Ezra Pound

The grand show trial for treason which Pound had expected never took place. For a month he was held in Washington Jail, while the private arguments about his fate were discussed in the Supreme Court and Presidential Cabinet. Eventually, on 21st December 1945, he was judged insane, and sent to St. Elizabeth's Hospital, Washington, DC. The US government knew quite well that Pound wasn't mad, but decided to pretend that he was as the line of least resistance when dealing with this troublesome individual.

During the years of confinement, Ezra Pound was regularly visited by T.S. Eliot, Mrs. Yeats, William Carlos Williams and others. Dorothy Pound moved into a poky flat in Washington, and visited the hospital every day. In 1948, amid very bitter controversy, *The Pisan Cantos* was awarded the Bollingen Prize for poetry. After some years work in the difficult surroundings of the hospital, Ezra Pound's *Classic Anthology* was published in 1954. This was a translation of the 300 Odes

that Confucius had selected from centuries of Chinese verse. Ezra interpreted the poems in his own way and Ode 265 sounds like a contemporary comment:-

Heaven has let down a drag-net of ill-doing,  
the locusts have gnawed us with word-work,  
they have hollowed our speech,  
Perverse alliances and continuing  
crookedness\ have divided  
evil men are set above us, in ease.

Amid slanders and vain disputations  
they see themselves flawless,  
they know not their errors  
they count on their not being seen,  
emulous, ostentatious, cantankerous in their\  
ostentation  
by long disorder the high offices are brought\  
down.

A year later the next installment of the *Cantos* — *Rock-Drill* — came out. Though the sequence contains some of the best lyrical passages in the *Cantos*, Pound's political asides were as intense and pungent as ever:-

The total dirt that was Roosevelt,  
and the farce that was Churchill.

( *Canto* 87)


This in the same book as:-

And if I see her not, no sight is worth the beauty\  
of my thought.  
Then knelt with the sphere of crystal.  
That she should touch with her hands,  
Coeli Regina,  
The four altars at the four coigns of that place,  
But in the great love, bewildered

( *Canto* 92)

From the mid-1950s onwards, strenuous efforts were made by Pound's friends to get him released from the asylum. Eventually President Eisenhower was convinced and the indictment for treason was dismissed in April 1958. Ezra Pound spent a night at his boyhood home in Philadelphia, before declaring to reporters that all America was a madhouse as he boarded a liner for Italy.

Ben Bulben, County Sligo, under whose 'bare head' Pound's friend W.B. Yeats is buried. The giants of twentieth century literature lie sleeping through a brief Hollywood night, but the wheel will turn and their spirit will walk our lands once more. The plastic nightmare will fade, and our European culture will survive.



His destination was Brunnenberg Castle in the Italian Tyrol, the home of his daughter Maria and her husband Boris de Rachewiltz. Though Pound was now in his mid-seventies he still continued to experiment with different poetic styles and themes. Boris de Rachewiltz was an Egyptologist and Pound used some of the manuscripts de Rachewiltz had discovered to produce translations of ancient Egyptian verse:-

I adore the gold-gleaming Goddess,  
Hathor the dominant,  
and I praise her.

I exalt the Lady of Heaven.  
I give thanks to the Patron.  
She hears my invocation  
and has fated me to my lady,  
Who has come here herself, to find me.  
What felicity came in with her!

(*Conversations in Courtship*, 1960)

Around this time a BBC film crew visited Pound at his Italian home. They produced a documentary on his work which was shown on British TV as part of the Monitor series. Those were the days when the BBC still showed worthwhile programmes, rather the mind-numbing trash we are familiar with today. In 1961 he gave a long interview to the *Paris Review* magazine, which explained much about his career and motivation:-

"If the individual, or heretic gets hold of some essential truths, or sees some error in the system being practised, he commits so many marginal errors himself that he is worn out before he can establish his point. It is difficult to write a paradise when all the superficial indications are that you ought to write an apocalypse. Somebody said that I am the last American living the tragedy of Europe. The coherent idea around which my muddles accumulated is probably that European culture ought to survive."

During the same year, Ezra Pound was photographed in Rome, at the head of a neo-fascist parade, surrounded by admirers. But he was gradually becoming more depressed and disillusioned as the initial euphoria of freedom died away. He continued to work fitfully on the *Cantos*.

In 1965 Pound travelled back to London to attend the memorial service for T.S. Eliot at Westminster Abbey, and said the following words about his friend:-

"His was the true Dantescan voice — not honoured enough, and deserving of more than I ever gave him."

After the service, he boarded a plane for Ireland, and visited Mrs. Yeats at her home in Dublin. The last part of the *Cantos* — *Drafts and Fragments* — was published in 1969. Though a very short volume, it contains some brilliant short passages, and is less obscure and difficult than some of Pound's other later work:-

M' amour, m' amour  
what do I love and  
where are you?

That I lost my center  
fighting the world.  
The dreams clash  
and are shattered —  
and that I tried to make a paradiso terrestre.

I have tried to write Paradise

Do not move,  
Let the wind speak  
that is paradise.

Let the Gods forgive what I  
have made.  
Let those I love try to forgive  
what I have made.

(*Canto 120*)

Ezra Pound wrote his final public statement in Venice on 4th July 1972. This was the preface to the *Selected Prose*, a 450-page collection of his best writings on economics, culture and history. He died on 1st November 1972 and is buried at San Michele, Venice.

In the real world outside, events were beginning to catch up with Pound's theories. Nine months earlier, President Richard Nixon had arrived in Peking, swiftly following Kissinger's earlier trip. The scene was set for international finance to build up China, as Europe began to wane.

Two years later, in 1974, Richard Nixon was forced to resign the Presidency. Those who have access to issues of *Time* magazine from 1974 can consult the transcripts of Nixon's White House conversations. Nixon complains about his final betrayal in terms very similar to Pound's Rome Radio broadcasts some thirty years earlier.

Ezra Pound went through a great deal to warn the world about the dangers of a global dictatorship of money — will we heed his warnings in time? If this short overview of the life and works of this giant of twentieth century literature has encouraged a few readers to find out more about what he had to say, that is another step on the journey which will ensure that his beloved European culture will, indeed, survive.

## THE ROAD-SWEEPER

The road's far dirtier than childhood's;  
I move down, crunching deeper into trash,

Past foreign loads and written walls,  
And stabbing steeples, idols' temples,

Shops ever-open, peddling disease,  
Psychosis, tinned anatomy.

I pick up rusty tools, crumpled deeds,  
Stained glass, and polystyrene coffins

Of tortured lunch. All ruin passes,  
From silly to sadistic.

Once, I didn't mind a spot of rain,  
Till simultaneous seasons, pushed fire.

And I have always loved the rising Sun,  
And a race that I have won;

Hated the liberals' exported cults  
Of loss, guilt and self-loathing.

Fair work and play I always argued,  
But now my task is useless,

Futile as hands that try  
To beat back fog and locusts.

I might as well just lean,  
And nod at traffic's rushing repetition.

High blocks, chips' miracles! and yet  
This old brush brushes near a brink.

Certain as the incoming wet,  
Soon I'll be sweeping guts and rounds.

Trash is for burning.

Ronald Parr

## SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle our magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to: *Spearhead*, c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thank you.

RACE  
AND  
NATION



The Editor  
'Spearhead'  
c/o PO Box 117,  
Welling,  
Kent DA16 3DW

SIR: In respect of Nick Griffin's article on 'freedom and democracy' in your June issue, there is no doubt that the BNP can make a greater claim to champion democracy than any other British party. Clearly it could be an asset to do so in our propaganda war against the internationalist oligarchy so ably described by James Thurgood in the same issue.

My one reservation is that whilst the word 'democracy' has a clear propaganda appeal, it is notoriously difficult to define. At best it can mean government by the British people for the British people; at worst it can mean a system of divide-and-rule by splitting the nation into vying interest groups which can be played off against each other.

Therefore, while it is obviously tempting to cash in on our democratic credentials, it might be better to keep our verbal weaponry sharp and to counsel caution when using a term that can mean all things to all men.

SYLVESTER FOX  
Chesham, Bucks.

SIR: I watched the recent *Cook Report* on the BNP and found it a farce. This fat, arrogant man is full of his own importance, but his customary smug attitude when he confronts people wasn't there this time. The editing was a desperate attempt to show the 'right' as a group of divided schemers, which quite obviously failed miserably. By contrast, Nick Griffin's article in last month's *Spearhead* was all the *Cook Report* was not — brilliant.

Well done to the BNP; it's good to see people like Cook and his Zionist cronies on the end of their own line and choking on their not so stainless steel hook!

M. MORRIS  
Stanmore, Middx.

SIR: In an attempt to assert that Alan Sked, leader of the United Kingdom Independence Party, and Gerry Gable, editor of *Searchlight*, are in cahoots with each other (and, for all I know, they may be) Mark Deavin asked in his article, 'The Force to Save Britain': "and why has *Searchlight* been prepared to overlook... the fact ... that the former National Front national organisier, Martin Webster, was allowed to speak at a UKIP meeting in Putney?"

This form of words was obviously contrived to mislead readers into thinking that I had been a platform speaker, or that I had been introduced to the meeting and invited to give an address from the body of the hall. Any such impressions are false.

The meeting was a public election rally

held on behalf of the UKIP candidate for Putney, the constituency where I live. I attended the meeting with two friends and about 100 other people and I was one of about eight people allowed to ask a question. I was not in any other sense 'recognised' by the platform, and I was certainly not introduced by name and political background. I introduced myself as "an unrepentant nationalist-chauvinist," since that concept had been attacked during platform speeches. My question was hostile, contrasting the UKIP's 'patriotic' slogans attacking the EU with the party's support for the global economy and the export of British jobs to the Pacific Rim.

It is a matter for Dr. Deavin to produce evidence to support his effort to smear Alan Sked by association with Gerry Gable. Contriving false information about me which smears me by association with UKIP (or, as UKIP might insist: "... and vice versa!") does not help his case.

MARTIN WEBSTER  
London SW

SIR: I am writing to thank the British National Party for giving me the chance to stand as general election candidate in the general election for Ilford South constituency. Also, to thank Tony Lecomber for putting in a lot of hard work on my behalf, as I was unable to help in the campaign because my wife was expecting a baby. I am pleased to say that we now have a baby boy, who we hope will grow up under a BNP government.

My wife and family are all proud of me for standing up for what I believe in and, after seeing the BNP election broadcast, they all agree 100 per cent with party policy.

A. OWENS  
Berwick-upon-Tweed

SIR: I was a member of the old National Front in the 1970s, and I believe that this time, in the BNP, nationalism has got it right. I am now a pensioner and have more time on my hands, so I am able to talk more to younger people. I tell them how hard my generation worked to build a better Britain, and how we did it for our own children and grandchildren, not for immigrants.

I am particularly concerned about the growing number of Asians here, especially the Muslims. In the affair of Govan's new MP Mohammed Sanwar we have seen the sort of thing which will become commonplace if more of them get into power.

I have been following the situation in France, where Jean-Marie Le Pen is doing very well, and I feel that the BNP will do the same if it continues on its present line and keeps going to the polls.

In my own small way I will be doing what I can to help spread the word. I tell everyone I am a BNP supporter. After all, what can anybody do to me, we have lived through so much.

MRS. MARGARET STONES  
Manor Park, London E12

SIR: I am writing to let you know what is

happening in schools in Sheffield and, most likely, the length and breadth of Britain.

I have a daughter who is seven years old. Although she is doing very well at school she is being held back by the attention the teacher has to give to children who are not used to speaking English. In addition, she is being taught about the Islamic, Hindu and Jewish religions.

Why should innocent British youngsters be exposed to this brainwashing? When my wife visited the school the children had made a shrine for some alien religion or another. Even though the pupils are mostly white, there was no sign of our national flag or of anything to do with our own British heritage. The education authority are spending more money on helping the ethnic minorities with books, free school meals and special lessons, but they cannot afford the traditional lollypop lady to help our children to cross the road safely.

At a different school, in Pitsmoor, one of my wife's friends had to go to the school to see the headmaster. While she was waiting she tried to find a book to read to her child, but to her amazement could not find one in English!

This is diabolical. As a member of the BNP I would like some information about how to tackle this issue, as I am sick and, tired, indeed downright angry, about what is being done to our young children.

DARREN SMITH  
Sheffield, S. Yorks.

*Editor's reply: The teaching of alien religions is part of the National Curriculum introduced by the last Conservative government. It is indeed scandalous, but the headmaster is legally bound to follow the National Curriculum, so couldn't do otherwise even if he wanted to.*

*All parents in this position must at least spend some time 'unbrainwashing' their children. Tell them about halal and kosher ritual slaughter, and how the animals have their throats cut and are left to bleed slowly to death in agony.*

*Girls in particular should be shown pictures of Muslim women covered from head-to-toe in black and be told that under Islam they would have to dress like that too, even on the hottest day of summer.*

*Youngsters should also be asked if their teacher told them how the Jewish religion tells its followers that they are better than the rest of us, and that they call us goyim — meaning 'cattle'.*

*This will help to protect individual children from indoctrination, but ultimately the only solution is to organise to promote political, economic and cultural initiatives designed to enable the British people to take back their own country and return to their own roots. So talk with other parents, tell them what is going on and try to involve them in building local opposition to multi-racial brainwashing. We have a suspicion that parent/pupil boycotts, particularly of Islamic indoctrination sessions, could strike a deep chord among thousands of ordinary Britons and spread like wildfire.*

# BOOKS

BNP BOOK SERVICE (PHONE 0374 454893)  
PO Box 117, WELLING, KENT DA16 3DW

**AGRICOLA and GERMANIA (Tacitus).** £6.99. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and German ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

**AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver)** £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

**ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (ed Campbell)** £15.00.

A handsome, well-illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th century, 1982 rep. 1991, 272pp

**APOCALYPSE 1945: THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN (David Irving)** £15.00 An updated version of the 1963 account of this real "war crime" — the Allied terror bombing of an undefended city, packed with refugees fleeing from rape and murder at the hands of the Red Army. 1995, xiv, 315pp.

**BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle)** £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

**BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock)** £6.99. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans, 1952, this ed. 1991, 256pp.

**BELL CURVE (Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray)** £25.00. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

**BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors)** £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

**BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush)** £1.25. A strong plea for British independence from EC bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

**BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney)** £7.95. Subtitled 'A history of four nations' this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of the British Isles. 1989, 324pp.

**CALL IT CONSPIRACY (Larry Abraham)** £14.00. An updating of the classic None Dare Call It Conspiracy. Shows how socialism is a product of the wealthy, and how money manipulation has disastrous political consequences. Author is an American geopolitical analyst. 1985, xvii, 325pp.

**CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (Jean Raspail)** £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. Once on sale in ordinary bookshops, but now only available from the nationalist underground. 1973, 311pp.

**CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson)** £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates largely to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

**CASTLE OF LIES: Why Britain must get out of Europe (Christopher Booker and Richard North)** £8.95. Devastating effects of European bureaucracy on our daily lives and how the truth is covered up. 1996, xii, 244pp.

**CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler)** £4.00. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. A welcome return to print. 1974, 48pp.

**CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley)** £20.00 A study of the Anglo-American relationship 1940-57 showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

*Prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to order should first enquire.*

*Minimum postage for UK orders is 50p. Payment, in sterling only, should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to the "BNP Book Service" only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'BNP Book Service' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.*


*New titles are marked with stars \**

*Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through the BNP Book Service are written by many different kinds of authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with the British National Party. The party's Book Service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all of what is printed in these books should necessarily be taken as representative of the policies of the British National Party. We reserve the right to differ with some of the authors on some parts of their writings.*

**CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving)** £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's WW II leaders. Believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Germany. 1987, 591pp.

**CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley)** £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in his *Churchill's War* in its demolition of the myth of Churchill as a great national leader. The author is less scathing in his treatment of his subject than is Irving, even stating that Churchill was a 'great man'. Nevertheless, the book highlights our war Premier's overweening personal ambition and is particularly critical of his failure to come to an agreement with Hitler after British forces had been defeated in Europe in 1940. A valuable new contribution to revisionist history. 1993, 742pp.

**COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett)** £10.99. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian 643pp.



A leading American political theorist explains why conservatism is not enough

See this page

**CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver)** £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country 1966. 76pp.

**CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed)** £14.50. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

**CRYING WOLF: HATE CRIME HOAXES IN AMERICA (Laird Wilcox)** £13.00. A survey of over 120 cases where "race attacks" have been fabricated for political or financial advantage, 1994, 128, (20)pp.

**DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young)** £2.00. Important work on the money power and its threat to the freedom of mankind. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1956, rep. 1992, 72pp.

**DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood)** £1.00. The fact-filled pamphlet which strongly refutes the official 'Holocaust' figures. An all-time Revisionist classic. 28pp.

**DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr)** £8.25. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

**DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmot Robertson)** £15.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

**EUROPE'S FULL CIRCLE: CORPORATE ELITES AND THE NEW FASCISM (Rodney Atkinson)** £7.95. Controversial view of the EU, arguing that it is fascist and corporatist in inspiration Discusses the Bilderberg Group, the CIA and internationalists such as Arnold Tynbee and Joseph Retinger. 1996, 149pp.

**FABLE OF THE DUCKS AND THE HENS** £5.00. A full colour cartoon story of how a hard-working society of gullible ducks is subverted and dispossessed by the crafty hens. A fable for all ages. 1996, 21pp.

**FORCED WAR, THE (David L. Hoggan)** £21.00. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

**FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkitt, Mark Baimbridge and Stephen Reed)** £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Community. 1992, 69pp.

**GLOBAL TYRANNY... STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper)** £12.50. How the UN is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and freedom. 1992 xvii, 350pp.

**HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart)** £10.00. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'new world order'. 1993, 233pp.

**HITLER'S WAR (David Irving)** £25.00. Revised and abridged version of *The War Path: Hitler's Germany 1933-39* and *Hitler's War* (1977 ed.). 1991, VI, 857pp.

**HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY, THE (Prof. A.R. Butz)** £5.95. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and dissects a number of popular myths. 2nd ed. 1977, 315pp.

**HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION? (Alexander Baron)** £8.50. Defends the rights of revisionists and exposes the lies of those seeking to suppress free enquiry on the subject. 1994, 179pp.

**IDEOLOGY OF OBSESSION (David Baker)** £35. Study of the life and thought of A.K. Chesterton, one of the major figures in British nationalism from the '30s to the '70s. Not a hymn of praise, but generally fair. 1996, xiii, 250pp.

(Contd. overleaf)

**IMPERIUM (Ulrich Varange) £15.00.** One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author, whose real name was Francis Parker Yockey, rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture distorters' and demolishes the whole ideology of 'liberalism.' Originally published 1948, 625pp.

**IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00.** An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

**JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £11.99.** An absolutely vital book by an heroic Israeli professor. This detailed examination of the deeply anti-Gentile teachings of much of Judaism is a real eye-opener. Buy it!

**LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £7.99.** The disgraceful story of how the anti-Communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over to for mass slaughter by the Soviets between 1944 and 1947.

**LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95.** A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

**LEUCHTER REPORT, THE (Fred A. Leuchter) £5.00.** The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter effectively testified that such installations could not have existed in German occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 66pp.

**LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES (Alexander Baron) £4.99.** An exposure of the lies and crimes of the *Searchlight* 'mole' Ray Hill and a demolition of his ridiculous book *The Other Face of Terror*. 1994, 128pp.

**MATTER OF LIFE OR DEBT, A (Eric de Mare) £4.75.** A distinguished architectural historian examines the flaws in our financial and banking system and offers a remedy based on the ideas of C.H. Douglas. 1983, 140pp.

**MERRIE ENGLAND, 2000 (Colin Jordan) £1.00.** A fictional portrayal of life at the start of the coming century, with white people under the lash of the racial 'harmonisers'. 1993, 39pp.

**MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25.** Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

**NAKED CAPITALIST, THE (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80.** A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

**NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £6.50.** An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

**NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thomay) £7.50.** An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural, universal tendencies. 1993, 145pp.


**NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00.** An exposure of the financial power that seeks to drag mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

**NUREMBERG: THE LAST BATTLE (David Irving) £20.00.** An exposure of the hypocrisy and criminality of the Nuremberg war-crimes trials. Uses unpublished diaries and documents. 377pp.

**POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00.** A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

**RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 hardback.** The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

**RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. 50p.** Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in



reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20 A4 pages.

**RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p.** Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

**RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00.** A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

**RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00.** A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate for many years. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

**RACES OF BRITAIN, THE (John Beddoe) £17.00.** A reprint of a scholarly work on the Celts, Anglo-Saxons, Vikings and Normans, with information on related peoples of Europe. 1885 rep 1983, vi, 273pp.

**RACE PROBLEMS AND HUMAN PROGRESS (W.C. George) £4.00.** Shows how the racial egalitarian dogma is flawed despite the influential forces promoting it. 1967, 80pp.

**RECONSTRUCTION TRILOGY, THE (Thomas Dixon) £12.00.** A reprint of *The Leopard's Spots*, *The Klansman* and *The Traitor*, three great novels dealing with the 'Reconstruction' of the American South after the Civil War as seen from the Southern Whites' point of view. Dixon's novels inspired the epic D.W. Griffith film *Birth of a Nation*, the race mixers' least favourite picture. 1902-1907, rep. 1994, xx, 550pp.

**RESPONSE, THE (James Goldsmith) £5.99.** The author answers objections from various sources to earlier book *The Trap*. 1995, 203pp.

**ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £17.50.** A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the ERM and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

**SEARCHLIGHT ON A SEARCHLIAR (Alexander Baron) £2.99.** A detailed, factual and annotated destruction of the pretensions of Gerry Gable, self-styled 'anti-fascist' researcher and editor of *Searchlight* magazine. 1993, 36pp.

**SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS (Nesta Webster) £14.00.** A standard authority on the secret societies undermining civilisation and Christianity in the 200 years up to the 1920s. 1924, rep. 1994, 419pp.

**SELF-DETERMINATION? THE QUESTION ULSTER MUST ANSWER (Richard Cameron) £5.99.** The author's solution of self-determination for Ulster under the Crown may be contentious, but the book makes plain the neglect and mismanagement to which the province has been subjected by direct rule. 1992, 192pp.

**SOME TO MECCA TURN TO PRAY (Mervyn Hiskett) £12.95.** An examination of Islamic values and tradition with important sections on the relations between Moslems and host societies and Islam and Zionism. 1991, 357pp.

**SPEARHEAD BINDER £7.60.** Made to hold 12 copies of *Spearhead* magazine. Keep your valuable collection of back-numbers in perfect condition

and ready for handy reference.

**STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00.** A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy. 1987, 152pp.

**SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Bryan B. Monahan) £7.80.** A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival and offering solutions to the problem of inflation. 1971, viii, 124pp.

**THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE (Brian Burkitt and others) £4.50.** A cost benefit analysis of the UK's membership of the EU and a description of the various alternatives to membership. 1996, 111pp.

**THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20.** An exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.

**THUS SPAKE ZARATHUSTRA (Friedrich Nietzsche) £6.99.** The doctrine of racial progress and the development of the Superman. This ed. 1969, 343pp.

**\* TO RESTRAIN THE RED HORSE: THE URGENT NEED FOR RADICAL ECONOMIC REFORM (Alan D. Armstrong) £11.95.** A businessman discusses the origin of our money system, its social and ecological consequences and proposals for its reform. 1996, 137pp.

**TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99.** Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation state and points out the perils of free trade, particularly from the far East. 1994, 216pp.

**TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £3.50.** A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Government in signing the Treaty of Maastricht. 1994, 123pp.

**TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £4.40.** Describes the effects of the 'winds of change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. 3rd ed., 1995, xv, 92pp.

**VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50.** The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

**VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50.** The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

**WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80.** The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

**WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00.** The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926, rep. 1983, 320pp.

**WITNESS TO HISTORY (Michael Walsh) £3.50.** Large 64-page magazine format. Stunning compilation of quotes, mainly from Allied sources, which gives a very different view of WW2. 1996.

**ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £19.00.** The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. A real eye-opener from an author who can't be smeared 'anti-semitic' by the enemies of the truth! 1982, 904pp.

**ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £10.40.** New and much improved edition of a book first published in 1986. A study of 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the origins of WW2, the creation of Israel and the relationship between communism and capitalism. 1992, iii, 205pp.

**ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK, THE £2.00.** A 12 page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League.

**NEW BNP BOOKLIST OUT NOW! Includes many 'politically incorrect' works which are impossible to obtain elsewhere. A copy will be sent out to everybody who orders from the smaller list published above. Or send two first-class stamps to: BNP Book Service, c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW**

# PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

## BNP PUBLICATIONS

### NEW! NEW! NEW! NEW! NEW! NEW! Who Are The Bend-Benders?

Hard-hitting and well-researched study of how a tiny minority exercises a vastly disproportionate influence over 'our' mass media. £1.30 post-free or ten pounds for ten copies post-free. Buy copies and distribute them to friends, put them in libraries, send them to police officers and magistrates — help get people talking about the great taboo of modern politics.

### A New Way Forward

A summary of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 83p post-free.

### Fight Back!

British National Party manifesto for the 1992 election. Policies are exactly as outlined in *A New Way Forward* (above) but with different title, cover design and introduction. 83p post-free.

### Where We Stand

An abridged statement of BNP policies. Standard information brochure sent to enquirers. 33p post-free.

### No to Maastricht! No to Europe!

Booklet outlining the British National Party case against the EC and in particular against the Maastricht Treaty. Produced by BNP Devon Branch in collaboration with party headquarters. 64p post-free.

### The Enemy Within

Exposes the role of the BBC as a weapon of mass brainwashing. The booklet comprises the text of an official complaint by the party to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission. 56p post-free.

### BNP Activists' Handbook

This is the publication that so many have been waiting for. The handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The Handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages. £2.00 post-free.

### BNP Propaganda handbook

*Spreading the Word* is a handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the *Activists' Handbook*. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections:- (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of Party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears — valuable for this alone. £2.00 post-free.

## OUT NOW!

*Britain Reborn* is the British National Party's 1997 general election manifesto. In 64 large pages, it examines all the problems facing our country today, and sets out the BNP policies which will meet the challenges ahead. Essential reading! Price £3.55 incl. p&p. Don't miss it — order today!



## BADGES & MERCHANDISE

### BNP Badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. £1.60 post-free.

### Union Jack lapel badges

£1.60 each post-free. £1.38 each post-free for 10 or more. Wear and sell anywhere.

### BNP Key Rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £1.86 post-free.

### BNP Cloth Logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Ideal for sowing onto sports bags, jackets, etc. £1.60 post-free.

## BNP LEAFLETS

**Striking two-sided leaflet.** Red, white and blue colour scheme lends visual impact to an excellent leaflet. All the best slogans are used including 'Rebuild Britain Now!' which features sections on 'Health and Welfare', 'A Decent Education', 'Say No to Europe!' and 'Rebuild Our Industry'. Other slogans used include 'Time For A New Party'; 'Join Us!' and 'Make Britain Safe!' which focuses on crime-ridden Britain and identifies our solutions, including the rebuilding of a sense of national community — possible only in an all-white Britain! This is the fourth 'Spearhead' leaflet that the party used in the run up to the General Election and is just as useful now as it was under the Tories. £9.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.15 for 1,000 and £5.80 for 2,000.

**Join us!** Highly successful recruiting leaflet designed for general recruitment campaigns. Gives brief account of best known BNP policies. A summons to battle!

**Defend Britain's Fishermen!** Attacks the betrayal of the fishing industry. Especially suitable for giving out in fishing areas.

**Hang Child Murderers!** Calls for the death penalty for the killing of little children — especially topical following cases such as the murder of little Daniel Handley by homosexual perverts.

**NEW!!! Anti-Tory leaflet.** Aimed especially at disillusioned Tory voters and activists, explaining why their party lost the election and must now be abandoned. To be followed by an anti-Labour equivalent as soon as Blair's electoral honeymoon is over!

*These leaflets cost £5.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.15 for 1,000 and £5.80 for 2,000.*

**Regular leafletting is the key to increased recruitment. These are first class propaganda weapons, use them to build the British Resistance!**

## BNP VIDEO RECORDINGS

**Rally '95.** Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall. *Video of Rally '95 costs £15.83 including p&p.*

**Rally '94.** Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged near London on November 5th 1994. Speeches by David Bruce, Richard Edmonds, Gunther Deckert, Claude Cornilleau, Michael Newland and John Tyndall.

**Rally '93.** Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged just outside London on November 6th 1993. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, David Bruce, Kirk Lyons, Claude Cornilleau and John Tyndall.

**Rally '92.** Video of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 24th 1992. Hear and see speeches by Syd Carthew, John Peacock, Ken Henderson, Claude Cornilleau, David Bruce, Sam Dickson, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

**Rally '91.** Video of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 12th 1991. Hear and see speeches by Steve Tyler, Scott McLean, Ken Henderson, Eddy Butler, Tony Wells, Dr. Donald Clarke, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Videos of Rally '87, Rally '89 and Rally '90 are also available. All feature a wide range of speakers, including John Tyndall, Richard Edmonds and David Bruce, plus some excellent contributions from local BNP officials and guest speakers.

*Videos of Rally '87 to Rally '94 cost just £13.05 incl. p&p.* All are ideal to show to family or friends, or for use at local BNP meetings if a main speaker is not available. Use them to help speed up the political education of new recruits, or to inspire 'old hands' to that vital bit of extra effort. TV is a powerful weapon — use it to help build the BNP!

## RALLY '96-97



### Video-recording of the British National Party Annual Rally, January 25th 1997

Hear:-

Dr. DONALD STEVENS  
JOSE RAMOS  
NICK GRIFFIN  
RICHARD EDMONDS  
JOHN TYNDALL

The BNP's Rally '97. As advertised on TV! You've seen the trailer, now watch the whole event. Great speeches made in an electrified atmosphere. Rally '97 costs just £15.83 incl. p&p.

## BNP AUDIO TAPES

**Rally '95.** Tape-recording of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall. £6.00 plus 36p p&p.

**BEST-SELLING TAPE!** Two recorded talks by John Tyndall: *The BNP: a movement for the new century.* An exposition of the main BNP policies; *Time for action!* A summons to the lazy and the apathetic to stir themselves and get involved! £6.00 plus 36p p&p.

## ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

### THE TRUTH AT LAST

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other White Race patriots. *Truth At Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. Send £5 note for trial 6 month subscription. Obtainable from: *Truth At Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

### NATIONAL VANGUARD

Serious and thoughtful magazine produced by Dr. William L. Pierce of the National Alliance but of interest to white people all over the world. Appears irregularly. Send US\$5.50 for sample. 6 issue subscription: air mail US\$30; surface mail US\$18. Remit in US currency only. PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, USA.

# BNP activists step up the pace with THREE GREAT DAYS OF ACTION!

WITH scarcely a let-up after the massive effort of the general election campaign, BNP activists all over the country have flung themselves back into the fray, taking advantage of heightened public interest in the party and its campaign for Britain. The second week in July alone saw three major 'Days of Action', in Ulster, Glasgow and London

● **ULSTER** BNP members and supporters attended a series of parades and loyalist meetings in the tense run-up to the traditional Orange Walk from Drumcree church to Portadown. This parade has become controversial in recent years, with the controlled media making much of the fact that it passes for a few minutes near the 'republican' Garvaghy Road, but omitting to make mention of the fact that this was a mixed estate before IRA ethnic cleansing squads brutally forced out the Protestants who had lived there peacefully since it was first built.

In the days leading up to the Drumcree parade, the BNP team distributed thousands of copies of *True Brit*, a red-white-and-blue A4 broadsheet subtitled 'For Ulster and the British Nation.' The front page talked of Drumcree as 'Ulster's last stand' and urged loyalists to reject calls for 'compromise', which really means surrender to the IRA. It went on to call for mass mobilisation as the only way to maintain loyalist freedom in the face of republican terror and Westminster treason.

Featured inside the paper is a warning

that Belfast's first mosque is the beginning of a process that could lead to the situation which now exists in Bradford, where Muslim militants have persuaded the police to arrest Christians for preaching on the streets of their own city. Also included was an article about the *Cook Report*, which was of particular interest in Ulster, where previous programmes have included MI5-inspired attacks on hardline loyalists.

As well as the main day's activity in Belfast, the *True Brit* team was also active in Portadown, Carrickfergus and Larne. They were particularly well received in Larne, a port where British loyalism traditionally has significant support among Catholics as well as Protestants.

Not only is the regular distribution of large numbers of *True Brits* helping to raise the profile of the BNP in Northern Ireland, but there is no doubt that the latest issue helped to pressurise the wavering Orange leadership into agreeing not to back down at Drumcree.

As the subsequent surrender to the IRA 'resident's' front-group on the Lower Ormeau Road made all too clear, many of the Orange bigwigs are infected with the spirit of the sell-out Lundy. With the Blair/EC plan to dismember the United Kingdom gathering pace, the very survival of British Ulster depends on her people finding the courage to reject those who would lead them via compromise to destruction. Ulster needs a new political force and a new, militant attitude: the BNP and the spirit of Drumcree!

● **GLASGOW** Hundreds of copies of *True Brit* were also handed out on the traditional 12th July parade in Glasgow, which saw the best turnout of BNP activists in Scotland for several years. Here too it proved a popular publication, as did *British Nationalist*, which sold well throughout the day.

While some older Scots have wrongly come to regard the bogus Scottish National Party as a genuine electoral alternative to Labour, there is a growing radicalism among young people, who look at the increasingly Asianised face of the SNP and conclude that it is no better than Blair's crowd. As savage Labour council cuts are imposed on Glasgow in particular, this is creating enormous potential for further Days of Action and lightning demonstrations.

☛ For a sample copy of issue 4 of *True Brit*, please send an SAE to *True Brit*, %PO Box 85, Glasgow, G51 2DS. Any donations would be greatly appreciated and will help to produce the next edition.

● **LONDON** When more than 120,000 country folk, including many conservationists, gamekeepers, and shooting enthusiasts, joined the rally against Labour's proposed ban on hunting in Hyde Park, on July 10th, half-a-dozen BNP members took the day off work to hand out copies of a new leaflet, *Theme Park Britain*. Subtitled 'How politically correct townies are killing our country', this pointed out the way in which our urbanised masters

## How to obtain *Spearhead*

Don't miss your monthly copy of *Spearhead* — Britain's premier nationalist magazine. Becoming a subscriber guarantees that you'll keep your collection up to date with regular copies 'hot off the press.' And income from subscriptions helps to maintain *Spearhead's* long record of frank and fearless comment on the state of Britain and the world. So subscribe today!

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):

British Isles: £22.50 ☐ Overseas surface mail: £24.60 ☐ Unsealed air mail Europe: £25.66 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East, Africa, N & S America: £32.30 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East: £33.90 ☐

PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: £1.10 each; 20-49 copies: £1.00 each; 50-99 copies: 83p each; 100-199 copies: 69p each; 200-499 copies: 60p each; 500 copies or over: 55p each (For advice on postal rates please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

**BUILD THE BNP  
READ AND SELL**

## BRITISH NATIONALIST

The monthly official BNP newspaper. Eight big pages packed with news and facts the controlled mass media don't want you to get to know about.

The new front page lashes the IRA and the cowardly politicians who negotiate with terrorists instead of locking them up. Inside, a shocking editorial deals with a series of hushed-up, anti-white race attacks by in East London and West Yorkshire, where an eight-year old boy was set on fire and beaten up by Asians..

**British Nationalist —  
the Voice of Britain!**

For sample copy, bulk rates and subscription details, send 3 first class stamps or 2 IRCs to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

## STOP CITY TRENDIES DESTROYING OUR TRADITIONS

- \* We must take back the right to bring up our children our way. Trendy teachers who preach vegetarianism, homosexuality and multi-culturalism must be sacked.
- \* Restore the county regiment system and take other measures to encourage local pride and traditions.

## REBUILD THE RURAL ECONOMY

- \* Get Britain out of the EC and protect British agriculture from foreign imports
- \* Stop fat cat City financiers buying up land. Encourage medium-sized, mixed, family farms.
- \* As part of a national programme to reverse rural depopulation, landowners should be able to sell off small-holding plots and houses, without incurring capital gains tax, to young graduates of an expanded network of farming colleges.
- \* Declare a 200-mile exclusion zone in our fishing waters. Rebuild the fishing industry.
- \* Break the supermarkets' near-monopoly on food-buying, so that farmers and producers get a fair price.
- \* Keep out foreign goods which could be made in Britain. Encourage smaller-scale light industrial development in small towns and rural areas. Stop importing unemployment — British jobs for British workers!

**The party for tradition  
The party for freedom  
The party for you**

in Westminster, Whitehall and the media systematically ignore, discriminate against and disparage the interests and values of country people.

The leaflet explained to the marchers how the attack on their traditions had very little to do with animal welfare — citing as proof the Labour Party's refusal to do anything at all to protect the far larger numbers of animals savagely killed by *halal* and *kosher* ritual slaughter — and everything to do with the desire of powerful but unrepresentative *elites* to destroy the British identity and British way of life. The reverse side of the ground-breaking A4 leaflet — partly reproduced above — set out a wide range of BNP policies for the rebuilding of rural Britain.

The BNP team was overwhelmed by the positive and enthusiastic response the leaflet received. Many demonstrators, having read their own copy, came back to voice their support and to ask for a couple more to give to friends. One woman asked for a whole batch and promptly joined in giving them out. The entire initial print-run of 5,000 went in just one and a half hours, with only a handful given back and just a single copy being screwed up.

Many of the speeches from the platform

echoed the leaflet exactly. One speaker talked of how the countryside was being turned into a theme park. Several others noted that the leftist animal welfare lobby was careful to turn a blind eye to the horrors of ritual slaughter. The absurdities of the EU's Common Agricultural Policy were roundly condemned. Loud cheers greeted the observation that the powers that be prefer to finance campaigns for homosexual and lesbian rights than to look after hard-working taxpayers.

The huge crowd was almost entirely white, but quite a few of the demonstrators who had travelled from distant parts of Britain commented that they were shocked by the number of immigrants they had seen on their way into London. Now that they have a better idea of what is happening, and why, we look forward to seeing the BNP steadily widen its base of support to include the nation's rural backbone, as well as communities on the urban 'front line.'

**Theme Park Britain** is suitable for distribution at local rallies on this issue, in country areas and by direct mailshot to farmers. Price £10.00 per 1,000 copies, plus £6.40 postage for 1,000, £8.55 postage for 2,000.

## ACTION FILE

### Chase those follow-ups!

Units all over the country are getting great results from their election follow-ups. Reports so far indicate a significantly higher quality of inquirer than has often been the case in the past. Many are prepared to join up straight away. Visits by the new South Birmingham unit to neighbouring Coventry resulted in them signing up ten new members at once, and in another eight sympathisers agreeing to come to an inaugural meeting.

Other units are getting similar results. "People are very willing to become regular paper-buyers, and almost always agree to give a small extra donation every month as well. Many jump at the chance of coming to a meeting or a leafletting session," one London organiser told *Spearhead*.

But things will only happen if enquirers are visited — and quickly. By the time another month has passed any who have not been contacted and involved are likely to be losing interest. So if you haven't got round to everybody yet, step up the effort today!

### Involve everybody!

Naturally, some enquirers won't be so keen to get involved directly in political work straight away. But don't write them off. How about asking them to donate bits of bric-a-brac which could be sold at a car boot sale to raise funds? As it's summer time, why not invite them to a barbeque? Lots of people don't think of themselves as political, but just want to belong to something and make new friends. Give them that opportunity and make sure that they are made welcome. Let them see that the BNP is about ordinary people like themselves — ordinary people who have had enough!

## OFFICIAL BNP MERCHANDISE

**BNP MUGS.** White ceramic mugs with the official logo from British Nationalist (Union Jack flag with BNP roundel overlapping). Printed in red, white and blue. £3.80 each or £3.30 each if ordering more than one. For bulk orders of 11 or more, only £2.80 each. All prices include p&p. Please make cheques/POs payable to 'BNP Southern Region' and send to; *The Secretary, P.O. Box 300, Emma Street, London E2 7BZ.*

All profits from sales go to central party funds, so by buying these top quality mugs you help fund the BNP's Battle for Britain. Order yours today!

## Election Loans Fund £6,680.00 still needed

As stated in the last three issues, the British National Party urgently needs to raise money to repay loans made by supporters to cover a backlog of printing bills incurred in the fighting of the general election. This appeal has now been named the Election Loans Fund. People have contributed generously over the past weeks but as this issue goes to press the party is still short of the required sum to the tune of £6,680.00.

Readers must bear in mind that, compared with the £20 million input by the late Sir James Goldsmith into the Referendum Party's campaign, the BNP fought the general election on a relatively shoestring budget, and what it achieved on such slender resources was magnificent. The party is not ashamed to ask for help in clearing these remaining debts.

Please make all donations 'British National Party Election Loans Fund'. Please post to: c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Receipts will not be sent for contributions of under £10.00 unless donors enclose SAE.

# What do *you* think when you pass a group of children?



Sadly, probably something like: "I wonder if there will ever be any real jobs for them." But there are others in our midst who have very different thoughts when they pass a school or playground.

Is there a future for our children? They are caught between a Labour government as committed to suicidal 'free trade' economics and human jungle 'individualism' as the Tory and Lib-Dem opposition, and a mass media that actively promotes perversion.

The collapse of the criminal justice system means that, even when caught, paedophiles now receive ludicrously light sentences. Typically, they do a couple of years in jail after committing dozens, or even hundreds of offences.

When they are released, they are given comfortable flats, often in the same area they prowled before .... and then they resume their old ways. Meanwhile, thousands of non-criminals, who are often homeless through no fault of their own, have to sleep rough in cardboard boxes, while thousands more pensioners shiver in cold and damp properties unfit for human habitation.

Why on earth should these evil perverts be treated better than decent Britons who have fallen victim to hard times?

Everyone knows this is wrong, but there's no point just complaining about it. Perverts and aggressive minorities get favourable treatment because they organise to help each other and promote their own interests. The evils afflicting our society and threatening our children will only stop when the ordinary people of Britain *organise* too, and take back control of their own country and their own future. That's the purpose of the BNP, and that's why we need *your* help.

Not next year, not when other people have done all the hard work, not when the battle has been won — we need *your* help *now*!

## Help give them a future!

# BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

For more information send 50p,  
or a larger donation, to:-  
The Secretary  
c/o P.O. Box 117,  
WELLING  
KENT DA16 3DW

☎ 0374-454893  
🌐 <http://www.webcom.com/bnp>

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose .....